

Meeting of the

PENSIONS COMMITTEE

Thursday, 15 November 2012 at 7.30 p.m.

A G E N D A

VENUE
COMMITTEE ROOM C1, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE

Members:	Deputies (if any):
Chair: Councillor Zenith Rahman Vice – Chair: Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman	
Councillor Craig Aston Councillor Abdal Ullah Councillor Oliur Rahman Councillor Marc Francis 1 Vacancy	Councillor David Snowdon, (Designated Deputy representing Councillor Craig Aston) Councillor Tim Archer, (Designated Deputy representing Councillor Craig Aston) Councillor Carlo Gibbs, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Zenith Rahman, Motin Uz-Zaman, Abdal Ullah and Marc Francis) Councillor Carli Harper-Penman, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Zenith Rahman, Motin Uz-Zaman, Abdal Ullah and Marc Francis) Councillor Helal Abbas, (Designated Deputy representing Councillors Zenith Rahman, Motin Uz-Zaman, Abdal Ullah and Marc Francis)
Frank West – Non-voting Member Representing Admitted Bodies John Gray – Non-voting Member representing Admitted Bodies	
[Note: The quorum for this body is 3 Members].	

If you require any further information relating to this meeting, would like to request a large print, Braille or audio version of this document, or would like to discuss access arrangements or any other special requirements, please contact: Antonella Burgio, Democratic Services. Tel: 020 7364 4881

"If the fire alarm sounds please leave the building immediately by the nearest available fire exit, to which a Fire Warden will direct you. Please do not use the lifts. Please do not deviate to collect personal belongings or vehicles parked in the complex. If you are unable to use the stairs, a member of staff will direct you to a safe area. On leaving the building, please proceed directly to the Fire Assembly Point situated by the lake on Saffron Avenue. No person must re-enter the building until instructed that it is safe to do so by the Senior Fire Marshall. The meeting will reconvene if it is safe to do so, otherwise it will stand adjourned."

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

PENSIONS COMMITTEE

Thursday, 15 November 2012

7.30 p.m.

1. **APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

To receive any apologies for absence.

2. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Pages 1 - 2)**

To note any declarations of interest made by Members, including those restricting Members from voting on the questions detailed in Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act, 1992. See attached note from the Chief Executive.

3. **UNRESTRICTED MINUTES (Pages 3 - 6)**

To confirm as a correct record of the proceedings the unrestricted minutes of the ordinary meeting held on 20th September 2012.

4. **DEPUTATIONS & PETITIONS**

To receive any depositions or petitions

5. **UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION**

5.1 **Annual Pension Fund Report and Statement of Accounts (Pages 7 - 86)**

To approve the 2011/12 final Pension Fund Statement of Accounts; Pension Fund Annual Report, Funding Strategy Statement and Statement of Investment Principles.

5.2 **Proposed (2014) LGPS Scheme (Pages 87 - 92)**

To note the proposed changes to the LGPS Scheme

5.3 **Report of the Investment Panel (Pages 93 - 100)**

To note the report of the Investment Panel

5.4 Workforce Pension Reform: Automatic Enrolment (Pages 101 - 106)

To note the Council's response to workplace pension reforms introduced by the Government.

6. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

7. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

In view of the contents of the remaining items on the agenda the Committee is recommended to adopt the following motion:

“That, under the provisions of Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972, as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the press and public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting for the consideration of the Section Two business on the grounds that it contains information defined as Exempt in Part 1 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government Act, 1972.”

EXEMPT SECTION (Pink Papers)

The exempt committee papers in the agenda will contain information, which is commercially, legally or personally sensitive and should not be divulged to third parties. If you do not wish to retain these papers after the meeting, please hand them to the Committee Officer present.

8. RESTRICTED MINUTES

107 - 110

To confirm as a correct record of the proceedings, the restricted minutes of the meeting of the Pensions Committee held on 20th September 2012.

9. ANY OTHER RESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Agenda Item 2

DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS - NOTE FROM THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE

This note is guidance only. Members should consult the Council's Code of Conduct for further details. Note: Only Members can decide if they have an interest therefore they must make their own decision. If in doubt as to the nature of an interest it is advisable to seek advice **prior** to attending at a meeting.

Declaration of interests for Members

Where Members have a personal interest in any business of the authority as described in paragraph 4 of the Council's Code of Conduct (contained in part 5 of the Council's Constitution) then s/he must disclose this personal interest as in accordance with paragraph 5 of the Code. Members must disclose the existence and nature of the interest at the start of the meeting and certainly no later than the commencement of the item or where the interest becomes apparent.

You have a **personal interest** in any business of your authority where it relates to or is likely to affect:

- (a) An interest that you must **register**
- (b) An interest that is not on the register, but where the well-being or financial position of you, members of your family, or people with whom you have a close association, is likely to be affected by the business of your authority more than it would affect the majority of inhabitants of the ward affected by the decision.

Where a personal interest is declared a Member may stay and take part in the debate and decision on that item.

What constitutes a prejudicial interest? - Please refer to paragraph 6 of the adopted Code of Conduct.

Your personal interest will also be a prejudicial interest in a matter if (a), (b) and either (c) or (d) below apply:-

- (a) A member of the public, who knows the relevant facts, would reasonably think that your personal interests are so significant that it is likely to prejudice your judgment of the public interests; AND
- (b) The matter does not fall within one of the exempt categories of decision listed in paragraph 6.2 of the Code; AND EITHER
- (c) The matter affects your financial position or the financial interest of a body with which you are associated; or
- (d) The matter relates to the determination of a licensing or regulatory application

The key points to remember if you have a prejudicial interest in a matter being discussed at a meeting:-

- i. You must declare that you have a prejudicial interest, and the nature of that interest, as soon as that interest becomes apparent to you; and
- ii. You must leave the room for the duration of consideration and decision on the item and not seek to influence the debate or decision unless (iv) below applies; and

- iii. You must not seek to improperly influence a decision in which you have a prejudicial interest.
- iv. If Members of the public are allowed to speak or make representations at the meeting, give evidence or answer questions about the matter, by statutory right or otherwise (e.g. planning or licensing committees), you can declare your prejudicial interest but make representations. However, you must immediately leave the room once you have finished your representations and answered questions (if any). You cannot remain in the meeting or in the public gallery during the debate or decision on the matter.

LONDON BOROUGH OF TOWER HAMLETS

MINUTES OF THE PENSIONS COMMITTEE

HELD AT 7.35 P.M. ON THURSDAY, 20 SEPTEMBER 2012

COMMITTEE ROOM C1, 1ST FLOOR, TOWN HALL, MULBERRY PLACE

Members Present:

Councillor Zenith Rahman (Chair)
Councillor Motin Uz-Zaman (Vice-Chair) (Deputy Leader of the Labour Group)
Councillor Craig Aston
Councillor Abdal Ullah

Frank West Non Voting Member - Admitted Body
John Gray Non Voting Member - Admitted Body

Others Present:

Raymond Haines Chair of Investment Panel
Matt Woodman Hymans Robertson

Officers Present:

Anant Dodia – (Pensions Manager)
Oladapo Shonola – (Chief Financial Strategy Officer, Resources)
Jill Bell – Head of Legal Services (Environment), Legal Services

Antonella Burgio – (Democratic Services)
–

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Marc Francis and Oliur Rahman.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

No declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests were made.

3. UNRESTRICTED MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 14 June 2012 were presented.

RESOLVED

That, subject to the correction of minute 6.4 which had been duplicated from paragraph 6.3 in error, the minutes be approved.

4. DEPUTATIONS & PETITIONS

Nil Items.

5. UNRESTRICTED REPORTS FOR CONSIDERATION

5.1 Member Training

Members received training from Matt Woodman of Hymans Robertson (Fund's Investment Consultants) on the Local Government Pension Scheme and the role of trustees in relation to governance, the investment strategy and overall structure of the Fund.

5.2 2011/12 Local Government Pension Fund Annual Report

Mr Shonola, Chief Financial Strategy Officer, presented the report circulated at agenda item 5.2 drawing Members' attention to matters concerning pension fund income, investment performance and increase in overall fund assets.

Members then considered factors that would influence funding levels in 2012-13, noting that budget reductions, numbers of active pension scheme members, investment performance and recovery period were all factors that would affect funding levels anticipated.

RESOLVED

That the Pension Fund Annual Report be noted; with reference to the following:

- the Pension Fund Statement of Accounts;
- the Funding Strategy Statement;
- the Statement of Investment Principles;
- the Governance Compliance Statement

which indicate the governance of the Fund during 2011-2012 and management of fund assets.

6. ANY OTHER UNRESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Mr Shonola advised Members of forthcoming training opportunities available.

7. EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

Under the provisions of Section 100A of the Local Government Act, 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) Act, 1985, the Chair moved that Press and Public be excluded from the remainder of the meeting for the consideration of the Section Two business.

RESOLVED

That press and public be excluded from the meeting during the discussion of Section Two business on the grounds that the items contained information defined as Exempt in Parts 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Schedule 12A to the Local Government, Act 1972". ('information relating to an individual', 'information which may reveal the identity of a person, information relating to the financial or business affairs of a person' and 'information relations to any consultations or negotiations or contemplated consultations or negotiations in connection with any labour related matter arising between the authority , or a Minister of the Crown and employees of, or officer holders under, the authority.,').

7.1 Admitted Body Status: Admission of Agilisys Ltd and Transfer of Toynbee Island Homes to One Housing Group

This item was considered in closed session.

7.2 ANY OTHER RESTRICTED BUSINESS CONSIDERED TO BE URGENT

Nil items.

The meeting ended at 8.48 p.m.

Chair, Councillor Zenith Rahman
Pensions Committee

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5.1

COMMITTEE: Pensions Committee	DATE: 15 November 2012	CLASSIFICATION: Unrestricted	REPORT NO.	AGENDA NO.
REPORT OF: Corporate Director of Resources ORIGINATING OFFICER(S): Oladapo Shonola – Chief Financial Strategy Officer		TITLE: 2011/12 Local Government Pension Fund Annual Report Ward(s) affected: N/A		

Lead Member	Cllr Alibor Choudhury - Resources
Community Plan Theme	All
Strategic Priority	One Tower Hamlets

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report presents the audited final Pension Fund Statement of Accounts for 2011/12.
- 1.2 The 2011/12 statement of accounts have been prepared under International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) rules and are now presented for approval by the Pensions Committee.
- 1.3 The final Pension Fund Statement of Accounts is similar to the draft accounts presented to the 20 September 2012 Pensions Committee other than for some minor formatting to improve presentation.

2. DECISIONS REQUIRED

Members are recommended to approve the:

- a) 2011/12 final Pension Fund Statement of Accounts;
- b) Pension Fund Annual Report
- c) Funding Strategy Statement;
- d) Statement of Investment Principles.

3. REASONS FOR DECISIONS

The Local Government Pension Scheme (Administration) Regulation 2008 requires the Authority as the administering body for the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund to publish an annual report by 1 December following the year end.

The publication of the Pension Fund Annual Report and Statement of Accounts helps to keep Fund members informed, shows good governance and also helps to demonstrate effective management of Fund assets.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The final Pension Fund Annual Report and Statement of Accounts are presented to Members following the conclusion of the audit carried out by the Council's external auditors, the Audit Commission.

There are no alternative options in so far as the publication of the Statement of Accounts and Annual Reports is a legislative requirement.

5. BACKGROUND

- 5.1 The Council as an administering authority under the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations is required to produce a separate set of accounts for the scheme's financial activities and assets and liabilities.
- 5.2 The contents and format of the accounts are determined by statutory Requirements and mandatory professional standards as established by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance (CIPFA) in their Statement of Recommended Practice (SORP).
- 5.3 The Pension Fund Accounts audited by the Council's external auditors, the Audit Commission is now complete and the final report is attached.
- 5.4 Communities and Local Government (CLG) have introduced an additional requirement for Councils to publish before 1 December each year an annual report which incorporates elements of the financial accounts for the preceding financial year. The pension fund annual report will be published after it has been presented and agreed by this Committee.

6. THE ANNUAL REPORT AND STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS

- 6.1 The Accounts comprise two main statements with supporting notes. The main statements are:
- Dealings with Members Employers and Others which is essentially the funds revenue account
 - The Net assets Statement which can be considered as the funds balance sheet.
- 6.2 The return on investment section of the Accounts sets out the movement in the net worth of the fund in the year by analysing the relevant financial transactions and movements in the market value of the investment portfolio. The statement has two main sections:
- The financial transactions relating to administration of the fund.
 - The transactions relating to its role as an investor.
- 6.3 The fund income section of the Report principally relates to the receipt of contributions from employers and active members and the payment of pensions. The section indicates that the Fund is cash positive in that the receipt of contributions exceeds the pension payments by £3.7m in 2011/12 compared to £10.6m in 2010/11. This equates to a significant drop in funding, and is a consequence of a reduction in active members of 434 (7.6%) and increases in deferred and retired membership numbers of 459 (8.2%) and 150 (3.8%) respectively.
- 6.4 The investment performance section of the Report details returns on the investment portfolio and the impact of managers' activities and investment markets on the value of investments. The Fund achieved a return on its investment portfolio of 1.4% in 2011/12 slightly lagging the benchmark of 1.8%. Returns for longer periods continue to lag benchmark at 0.8% and 0.3% for 3 years and 10 years respectively.
- 6.5 Overall, fund assets increased by £15.2m mostly due to gains made from performance of financial markets in which the Fund held its investments and a net gain between fund income and expenditure.
- 6.6 The net asset statement represents the net worth of the fund as the 31st March 2012. The statement reflects how the transactions outlined in the other statement have impacted on the value of the fund's assets.

7. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

7.1. The comments of the Corporate Director Resources have been incorporated into the report.

8. CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)

Regulation 34 of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Administration) Regulations 2008 imposes a duty on the Council as an administering authority to prepare a pension fund annual report.

The report should deal with the following matters:

- management and financial performance during the year of the pension;
- an explanation of the investment policy for the fund and a review of performance;
- a report on arrangements made during the year for administration of the fund;
- a statement by an actuary who carried out the most recent valuation of the fund and the level of funding disclosed by that valuation;
- a Governance Compliance Statement;
- a Fund Account and Net Asset Statement;
- an Annual Report dealing with levels of performance and any other appropriate matters;
- the Funding Strategy Statement;
- the Statement of Investment Principles;
- statements of policy concerning communications with members and employing authorities; and
- any other material which the authority considers appropriate.

9. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 The Pension Fund Accounts demonstrate financial stewardship of the fund's assets. A financially viable and stable pension fund is a valuable recruitment and retention incentive for the Council.

10. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

10.1 There is no Sustainable Action for A Greener Environment implication arising from this report.

11. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

11.1 Accounts provide an effective mechanism to safeguard the Council's assets and assess the risks associated with its activities.

12. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

12.1 There are no any Crime and Disorder Reduction implications arising from this report.

13. EFFICIENCY STATEMENT

13.1 The monitoring arrangement for the Pension Fund and the work of the Pension Fund Investment Panel should ensure that the Fund optimises the use of its resources in achieving the best returns for members of the Fund.

local government act 1972 (AS AMENDED) SECTION 100D

LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background papers"

Name and telephone number of
holder
And address where open to
inspection

The London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund

Annual Report 2011/12



TOWER HAMLETS

WWW.TOWERHAMLETS.GOV.UK

Contents

FOREWORD BY CHRIS NAYLOR: CORPORATE DIRECTOR RESOURCES	2
GOVERNANCE OF THE PENSION SCHEME	3
INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE OF THE FUND	5
STATEMENT FROM THE ACTUARY	10
APPENDIX 1 - PENSION FUND ACCOUNTS	11
APPENDIX 2 - STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT PRINCIPLES	24
APPENDIX 3 - FUNDING STRATEGY STATEMENT	32
APPENDIX 4 - COMMUNICATIONS STRATEGY STATEMENT	52
APPENDIX 5- GOVERNANCE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT	67

Foreword by Chris Naylor: Corporate Director, Resources

This report details the financial position of your Pension Fund and the performance of the professional fund managers appointed to administer its investment portfolio.

The Fund has seen positive movement in the year benefiting from the continuing recovery in financial markets. Although, the portfolio value grew at a much slower rate in 2011/12 compared to 2010/11, investment assets have nevertheless seen an increase of 1.4%. This broadly reflects the average return on pension fund assets nationally and also average gains in financial markets. This year's performance follows on from good performances in 2009/10 and 2010/11 with average returns of 32% and 8% respectively. Markets continue to be volatile and as a consequence the short to medium term outlook for the performance of the Fund remains uncertain.

The Investment Strategy allocates assets across a range of asset classes and further attempts to minimise exposure to significant movements within each asset class by appointing fund managers that pursue contrasting but complementary investment strategies. This approach ensures a diversified and balanced portfolio that targets steady and sustainable growth. However, asset allocation can drift away from target over time due to market or manager performance. To ensure that strategic asset allocation is broadly in line with target and Fund Strategy objectives, the Fund appointed Legal & General (L&G), to act as a swing manager. As swing manager, L&G will act to rebalance the portfolio between equities and bonds where allocation significantly varies from target.

The Fund faces a number of risks and opportunities in the future. The first of these relate to the changes to the Local Government Pensions Scheme (LGPS) due to come into effect in 2014. These changes include moving to Career Average Revalued Earnings (CARE), moving state retirement age from 65 to 68 and a slower rate of benefit accrual. These changes have been designed to improve the long term sustainability of public sector pensions and also address government concerns around affordability. Another change is the introduction of auto enrolment which is expected to strengthen the Fund by encouraging participation. Again, the extent to which these objectives are achieved will only be known in a few years.

Fund is maturing faster than previously expected due to lower council staff numbers following implementation of the transformation programme. As the Fund matures, officers in conjunction with the Funds professional advisers will need to develop funding/investment strategies to ensure that Fund liabilities can be met in the long term, and that adequate liquid assets are available to pay retired member benefits

The rate of return that the Fund's actuaries had assumed in determining the assets held has not been matched by actual returns on assets. Financial markets underperformance coupled with rising mortality rate have combined to widen the funding gap between Fund liabilities and its assets. Although financial markets continue to face headwinds caused by global economic downturn and persistent sovereign debt issues, It is worth noting that the Council is a long term investor and has a relatively secure long term income stream. Therefore, the Fund should be able to alter strategy that enables it to ride out periods of market underperformance and should not have to crystallise losses during market downturns.

All pension funds are subject to considerable financial challenges in the future relating to increasing life expectancy and volatile investment returns which will inevitably impact on funding and employers' contribution levels. At the same time, the Council continues to face significant budget pressures as a result of the Coalition Government's austerity measure

Chris Naylor

Governance of the Pension Scheme

The London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund is part of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) and is governed by Statute.

The London Borough of Tower Hamlets is the Administering Authority for the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund. The Pensions Committee has delegated responsibility for the management of the Fund and oversees the general framework within which the Fund is managed and sets investment policy on behalf of the Council and other employers in the Fund. Therefore, the Pensions Committee considers all investment aspects of the Pension Fund.

MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS TO THE PENSION FUND

Pensions Committee:

Councillors:

- Councillor Anwar Khan (Chairman)
- Councillor Zenith Rahman
- Councillor Craig Aston
- Councillor Rania Khan
- Councillor Ahmed Adam Omer
- Councillor Abdal Ullah
- Councillor Aminur Khan

Trade Union Representative (non-voting): John Gray (Unison)
Frank West (GMB)

Admitted Bodies Representative (non-voting): John Gray (Circle Anglia Ltd)

Investment Advisers

Hymans Robertson
Raymond Haines (Independent Investment Adviser)

Actuarial Services

Hymans Robertson

Custodian

State Street Bank

Investment Performance

WM Company

Investment Managers

Baillie Gifford
GMO UK Limited
Investec Asset Management
Legal & General Investment Management
Ruffer LLP
Schroders Investment Management

The Pension Fund Regulations require the Council to obtain proper advice on the Fund's investment strategy. To obtain this advice the Pensions Committee has constituted an Investment Panel including professional investment advisors. The Panel meets quarterly to determine the general investment strategy, monitor the performance of the fund and individual managers and to consider technical reports on investment issues.

During 2011/12 the members of the Investment Panel were: -

Investment Panel

Raymond Haines, Independent Adviser (Chairman)

Councillor Anwar Khan

Councillor Zenith Rahman

Councillor Craig Aston

Councillor Rania Khan

Councillor Ahmed Adam Omer

Councillor Abdal Ullah

Councillor Aminur Khan

John Gray (Unison)

Frank West (GMB)

Matt Woodman, Hymans Robertson


Alan Finch, Service Head, Finance, Risk Accountability

Investment Performance of the Fund

The Council’s Statement of Investment Principles sets the Fund’s investment objective as “to follow an investment strategy which will achieve an appropriate balance between maximising the long-term return on investments and minimising short-term volatility and risk”.

In 2011/2 the fund achieved a return on its investment portfolio of 1.4%, slightly lagging the benchmark of 1.8%. The returns for longer periods are also slightly lagging benchmark with the three and ten year returns at 0.8% and 0.3% respectively.

Fund Performance (One, Three and 10 Years)



Fund Management Activity

The Investment Panel continued to actively review the activities of the Fund in 2011/12. The Panel decided to appoint a ‘swing manager’ to ensure that actual asset allocation remains in line with the target strategic asset allocation. Legal and General were appointed to act as swing manager, as they currently manage two mandates (UK Equities and Index Linked Bonds) and therefore offer the most efficient way to rebalance overall asset allocation between equities and bonds to target.

The Fund did not restructure in 2011/12 and consequently no new mandates or managers were appointed other than the swing manager. Although performance varied among managers, individual managers’ performance were relatively in line with benchmark and therefore reflect market trends and investment approach.

Asset Allocation

The asset allocation within the portfolio is in line with benchmark asset allocation as at 31 March 2012 as set out below.

Analysis of Asset Allocation


Asset Class	Benchmark	Fund Position	Variance
UK Equities	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%
Global Equities	41.0%	40.6%	-0.4%
Total Equities	61.0%	60.6%	-0.4%
UK Index Linked	3.0%	5.5%	2.5%
Pooled Bonds	14.0%	11.5%	-2.5%
Property	12.0%	11.5%	-0.5%
Alternatives	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%
Cash	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%

All investment activity is regulated by the Fund’s Statement of Investment Principles which together with the Myners Compliance Statement are set out in Appendix 2.

Financial Accounts

During the financial year 2011/12 the value of the Fund increased by over £15m. The change in the value of the Fund is principally attributable to the performance of the financial markets in which the Fund held its investments. The “cash” sum includes the amount held by fund managers and the Pension Fund bank account.


ANALYSIS OF ASSET CLASS



Fund Income

There was an overall decrease in the amount of income received by the Fund in 2011/12 compared to 2010/11. As illustrated by the below chart, all income streams recorded notable reductions other than investment income, which rose by 22.6%.

Fund Income Analysis



The increase in investment income was mainly due to an increase in dividend income. However, employee contributions decreased by £1.1m (10.7%) with a corresponding decrease in council related contributions of £2.4m (6.0%). This is largely a consequence of reduction in Council's staff headcount following implementation of the Council's Transformation Programme, which took effect in 2010/11 and continued into 2011/12. Transfer Values (amounts paid over when a fund member transfers their benefits from one fund to another) received decreased by £2.2m (28.6%), which is not countered by a corresponding decrease in transfer values paid out by the Fund. Council related contributions are now lower than they were five years ago however, the relative reduction in employer contributions have been offset by the increase in employee contribution rates over the same period.


Fund Income Variance Analysis

Type of Income	2012 £m	2011 £m	Variance £m	Variance %
Employees Contributions	9.2	10.3	-1.1	-10.7%
Council Related Contributions	37.3	39.7	-2.4	-6.0%
Transfer Values	5.5	7.7	-2.2	-28.6%
Investment Income	13	10.6	2.4	22.6%
Total Fund Income	65	68.3	-3.3	-4.8%

Fund Expenditure

There was a slight increase in overall Fund expenditure in 2011/12 compared to 2010/11. The cost of administering the Fund was unchanged and there was a modest increase in the cost of managing the Fund's assets (Investment management).

Fund Expenditure Analysis



A breakdown of expenditure shows an overall increase of £1.4m (2.9%). This increase is mostly attributable to a rise in benefits payable and transfer values, being £1m (2.5%) and £0.3m (5.8%) respectively. Benefits payable comprise pension payments and lump sum payments. Further breakdown of benefit payable show, that retirement benefit paid over the year increased by £2.7m (9.2%) from £29.4m (2010/11) to £32.1m (2011/12), whilst lump sum payments decreased by £1.7m (14.9%) from £11.4m (2010/11) to £9.7m (2011/12). The decrease in lump sums payable is due to a reduction in average value of retirement grants paid.

Fund Expenditure Variance Analysis


Type of Expenditure	2012 £m	2011 £m	Variance £m	Variance %
Investment Management	2.2	2.1	0.1	4.8%
Administration	1	1	0	0.0%
Transfer Values	5.5	5.2	0.3	5.8%
Benefits Payable	41.8	40.8	1	2.5%
Total Fund Expenditure	50.5	49.1	1.4	2.9%

Funding Level

The Council is required to value the Pension Fund every three years.

The fund was valued by the consultant actuary Hymans Robertson LLP as at the 31st March 2010. The Actuary has calculated that the Pension Fund is 71.2% funded and has a deficit of £305m.

Movement in Funding Level



The funding position deteriorated by 7% between the previous revaluation in 2007 and the 2010 valuation. This is principally attributable to an increase in liabilities owing to improved life expectancy of scheme members and also to poorer than anticipated investments returns in the inter-valuation period.

On the recommendation of the Actuary, the Council has adopted a strategy to recover the deficit over a 20-year period. This will involve the Council paying a lump sum of £14 million per annum, uplifted by £1.25m each year thereafter for the period of the valuation, into the pension fund specifically to recover the deficit.


The 2010 valuation exercise identified continuing improvements in the life expectancy of scheme members which is increasing pension fund costs. Although this additional cost has necessitated an increase in the overall monetary amounts payable by the Council, the contribution rate element of this has been held at 15.8% of employee pay.

It should be emphasised that the deficit does not affect employees' pension entitlement. The Council is under a statutory obligation to provide sufficient funds to pay pensions and has adopted a strategy recommended by the Actuary to achieve full funding in twenty years time. Councils can take a long-term perspective because of their financial stability and statutory backing.

It should be recognised that the position is not unique to the Tower Hamlets Fund. All Pension Funds in both the public and private sectors have been subject to declining investment returns and increasing life expectancy, which has resulted in rising deficits in many cases.

Scheme Membership

The Fund currently has a membership of 16,316 comprising the following categories as set out in the below chart.



There was a modest increase of 1.1% in membership between 2010/11 and 2011/12, but this increase has not translated into additional income to the Fund. Although deferred membership (members who have contributed in the past but who have not yet become entitled to their benefits) has gone up by 459 (8.2%), there has not been a similar increase in the number of actives (members that are currently contributing to the Fund) – in fact the number of actives reduced by 434 (7.6%). The table below sets out the movement in membership number between the different categories in 2010/11 and 2011/12.

Movement in Fund Membership

Membership Type	31-Mar-12	31-Mar-11	Variance	Variance %
Actives	5,252	5,686	-434	-7.6%
Deferreds	6,060	5,601	459	8.2%
Pensioners	4,064	3,914	150	3.8%
Dependants	940	933	7	0.8%
Total	16,316	16,134	182	1.1%

The full accounts are as set out in Appendix 1.

The Council is required to publish a number of statements relating to the operation of the fund. The statements and the associated reports are as set out in the following appendices.

- Appendix 2 Statement of Investment Principles
- Appendix 3 Funding Strategy Statement
- Appendix 4 Communications Strategy Statement
- Appendix 5 Governance Compliance Statement

For further information on the Local Government Pension Scheme and your entitlement, please contact Anant Dodia at anant.dodia@towerhamlets.gov.uk or by telephoning 020 7364 4248.

Statement from the Actuary

An actuarial valuation of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund was carried out by Hymans Robertson LLP as at 31 March 2010 to determine the contribution rates that should be paid into the Fund by the employing authorities as from 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2014 in order to maintain the solvency of the Fund.

On the basis of the assumptions adopted, the valuation revealed that the value of the Fund's assets represented 71% of the Funding Target and the estimated deficit on the Fund at the valuation date was £305m. The Actuary has determined that the deficit can be recovered over a period of 20 years and the agreed monetary contribution to recover the deficit for the term of the revaluation is £14m (2010-11) rising to £15.25m (2012/13) and £16.5m (2013/14).

The Common Rate of Contribution payable by each employing authority under Regulation 77 for the period 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2014 is 30.1% of pensionable pay.

Individual Adjustments are required under Regulation 77 for the period 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2014 resulting in a Minimum Total Contribution Rates expressed as a percentage of pensionable pay are as set out below:

Employer Name as per 31 March 2010	Year ending 31 March 2012	Minimum Contribution for the year ending				
		Additional Monetary Deficit Payment £	Year ending 31 March 2013	Additional Monetary Deficit Payment £	Year ending 31 March 2014	Additional Monetary Deficit Payment £
London Borough of Tower Hamlets	15.8%	14.0m	15.8%	15.25m	15.8%	16.5m
Tower Hamlets Community Housing Limited	33.2%		33.2%		33.2%	
Redbridge Community Housing Limited	17.7%		17.7%		17.7%	
East End Homes Limited	24.5%		27.2%		29.9%	
Greenwich Leisure Limited	15.9%	5k	15.9%	6k	15.9%	6k
Swan Housing Association Limited	22.5%	9k	22.5%	10k	22.5%	10k
Gateway Housing Association (Bethnal Green & Victoria Park)	30.8%		30.8%		30.8%	
One Housing Group (Toynbee Island Homes)	27.5%		27.5%		27.5%	
Circle Anglia Limited	44.1%		44.1%		44.1%	
Tower Hamlets Homes	16.4%		16.4%		16.4%	
Look Ahead Housing & Care Limited	19.9%		19.9%		19.9%	
Ecovert FM Limited	16.0%		16.0%		16.0%	

In addition to the certified contribution rates, payments to cover the additional liabilities arising from early retirements (other than ill-health) will be made to the Fund by the employers.

The next triennial valuation of the Fund is due as at 31 March 2014. The contribution rates payable by the individual employers will be revised with effect from 1 April 2014.

APPENDIX 1 - PENSION FUND ACCOUNTS

PENSION FUND ACCOUNTS			
PENSION FUND ACCOUNT	Note	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
DEALINGS WITH MEMBERS, EMPLOYERS AND OTHERS DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN THE SCHEME			
Contributions			
From employers	3	39,659	37,325
From members	3	10,266	9,180
Transfers in			
Transfers in from other pension funds		7,704	5,507
Benefits			
Pensions	4	(29,407)	(32,129)
Lump sum benefits	4	(11,406)	(9,699)
Payments to and on account of leavers			
Refunds of contributions		(2)	(2)
State scheme premiums		(2)	(2)
Transfers out to other pension funds		(5,167)	(5,475)
Administrative expenses	13	(1,003)	(1,002)
NET ADDITIONS FROM DEALINGS WITH MEMBERS		10,642	3,703
RETURN ON INVESTMENTS			
		2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Investment income	11	10,562	13,016
Change in market value of investments			
Realised		70,468	(204)
Unrealised	10	(29,888)	844
Investment management expenses	16	(2,118)	(2,174)
NET RETURN ON INVESTMENTS		49,024	11,482
Net increase in the Fund during the year		59,666	15,185
Add: Opening net assets of the scheme		752,501	812,167
CLOSING NET ASSETS OF THE SCHEME		812,167	827,352
NET ASSETS STATEMENT AS AT 31ST MARCH			
		2011 £'000	2012 £'000
Investments Assets			
Equities		180,091	177,932
Pooled Investment Vehicles			
Unit Trusts		452,951	460,149
Property		86,158	92,048
Other		79,839	82,772
Derivative Contracts			
Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts		292	510
		799,331	813,411
Cash deposits	6	9,362	7,187
Other investment balances	5	1,096	1,270
Investments Liabilities			
Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts	10	(699)	(285)
Other investment balances	5	0	(443)
Current Assets			
	5	4,385	7,679
Current Liabilities			
	5	(1,308)	(1,467)
TOTAL NET ASSETS		812,167	827,352

NOTES TO THE PENSION FUND ACCOUNTS

1. INTRODUCTION

The Council is the administering authority for the Pension Fund and has executive responsibility for it. The Council delegates its responsibility for administering the Fund to the Pensions Committee which is responsible for considering all pension matters and discharging the obligations and duties of the Council under the Superannuation Act 1972 and other statutes relating to investment issues. The Committee meets quarterly to determine investment policy objectives, appoint investment managers, monitor investment performance and make representations to the Government on any proposed changes to the Local Government Pension Scheme. The Committee is required to obtain proper advice on the investment strategy of the Fund for which it has established an Investment Panel which includes professional investment advisors. The Panel meets quarterly to determine the general investment strategy, monitor the performance of the Fund and individual managers and consider technical reports on investment issues. The Fund employs six specialist investment managers with mandates corresponding to the principal asset classes.

The day to day administration of the Fund and the operation of the management arrangements and administration of the investment portfolio is delegated to the Corporate Director of Resources.

The Fund is operated as a funded, defined benefits scheme which provides for the payment of benefits to former employees of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets and those of bodies admitted to the Fund. These individuals are referred to as "members". The benefits include not only retirement pensions, but also widows' pensions, death grants and lump sum payments in certain circumstances. The Fund is financed by contributions from members, employers and from interest and dividend receipts and gains on the Fund's investments.

The objective of the Pension Fund's financial statements is to provide information about the financial position, performance and financial adaptability of the Fund. They show the results of the stewardship of management - that is the accountability of management for the resources entrusted to it - and the disposition of its assets at the period end.

2. ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) **Accounts**

The accounts summarise the transactions and net assets of the Pension Fund and comply in all material respects with Chapter 2 ("Recommended Accounting Practice") of the Statement of Recommended Practice (Financial Reports of Pensions Schemes) 2007 and the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA).

The Fund is administered in accordance with the LGPS (Benefits, Membership and Contributions) Regulations 2007 (as amended), the LGPS (Administration) Regulations 2008 (as amended) and the LGPS (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009.

(b) **Basis of preparation**

Except where otherwise stated, the accounts have been prepared on an accruals basis, that is income and expenditure are recognised as earned or incurred, not as received or paid.

(c) The financial statements of the Fund do not take account of liabilities to pay pensions and other benefits after 31st March 2012. The actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits, valued on an IAS19 basis is disclosed in note 12 of the Accounts.

(d) **Investments**

Investments are shown in the Net Assets Statement at market value on the following bases.

- (i) Listed securities are shown by reference to bid price at the close of business on 31st March 2012.
- (ii) Pooled investment vehicles are valued at bid price, middle market price or single price at close of trading on 31st March 2012.
- (iii) Property unit trusts are shown by reference to bid price at close of business on 31st March 2012.
- (iv) The Fund does not hold any direct property holdings and therefore does not employ a separate property valuer.
- (v) Investments designated in foreign currencies are valued in sterling at the exchange rates ruling on 31st March 2012. Where the Authority has entered into a transaction denominated in a foreign currency, the transaction is converted into sterling at the exchange rate applicable on the date the transaction was effective.
- (vi) Foreign exchange contracts are recognised in the net asset statement at their fair value. The amounts included in the accounts represent unrealised gains or losses on forward contracts.
- (vii) Cash is represented by deposits held with financial institutions repayable on demand without penalty.

(e) **Management Expenses**

Fund managers' fees are paid in accordance with the terms of each individual management agreement. The fees are based mainly on a percentage of the value of funds under their management and increase or reduce as the value of the investments change.

3. CONTRIBUTIONS

Contributions represent the total amounts receivable from the employing authority in respect of its own contributions and those of its pensionable employees. Employees pay contributions based on the level of pay they receive, with contribution rates set between 5.5% and 7.5% dependent on pensionable pay. The employer's contributions are made at a rate determined by the Fund's actuary necessary to maintain the Fund in a state of solvency, having regard to existing and future liabilities. The Primary Contribution Rates used during the financial year ending the 31 March 2012 range from 15.8% to 44.1% of pensionable pay. The Council paid an agreed additional monetary contribution of £14m to recover the deficit. Contributions shown in the revenue statement may be categorised as follows:-

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Members normal contributions		
Council	9,246	8,254
Admitted bodies	257	241
Scheduled bodies	763	685
Total members	10,266	9,180
Employers		
Normal contributions		
Council	20,754	18,755
Admitted bodies	867	956
Scheduled bodies	1,845	1,714
Deficit funding contributions		
Council	13,624	14,000
Other contributions		
Council	2,569	1,900
Total employers	39,659	37,325
Total contributions	49,925	46,505

Note: The Council is required to operate an Additional Voluntary Contribution (AVC) scheme for employees. In 2011/12 employees made contributions of £51,533.43 into the AVC Scheme operated by Aviva (Norwich Union) and £8,560.40 to Equitable Life. The contributions are not included in the Pension Fund Accounts in accordance with regulation 5(2)(c) of the Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 1998 (SI 1998 No 1831) but are deducted from salaries and remitted directly to the provider.

4. BENEFITS, REFUNDS OF CONTRIBUTIONS AND TRANSFER VALUES

Benefits payable and refunds of contributions have been brought into the accounts on the basis of all valid claims approved during the year. Transfers out/in are those sums paid to, or received from, other pension schemes and relate to the period of previous pensionable employment. Transfer values are brought into the accounts on a cash basis. Benefits payable are analysed below.

	2010/11				2011/12			
	Council	Admitted Bodies	Scheduled Bodies	Total	Council	Admitted Bodies	Scheduled Bodies	Total
	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000	£'000
Pensions	(28,428)	(688)	(291)	(29,407)	(30,734)	(810)	(585)	(32,129)
Lump sum retirement benefits	(9,909)	(483)	(264)	(10,656)	(7,143)	(384)	(1,157)	(8,684)
Lump sum death benefits	(750)	0	0	(750)	(1,015)	0	0	(1,015)
Total Pensions and Benefits	(39,087)	(1,171)	(555)	(40,813)	(38,892)	(1,194)	(1,742)	(41,828)
Transfer Values Received	7,704	0	0	7,704	5,507	0	0	5,507
Transfer Values Paid	(5,167)	0	0	(5,167)	(5,475)	0	0	(5,475)
Total	(36,550)	(1,171)	(555)	(38,276)	(38,860)	(1,194)	(1,742)	(41,796)

5. DEBTORS AND CREDITORS

Unless otherwise stated, all transactions are accounted for on an accruals basis. The following amounts were debtors or creditors of the Pension Fund as at 31st March.

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Debtors		
Other Investment Balances		
Dividends receivable	656	841
Tax recoverable	440	429
	1,096	1,270
Current Assets		
Contributions due from admitted bodies	22	31
London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund	4,363	69
	4,385	100
Total Debtors	5,481	1,370
Creditors		
Other Investment Balances		
Investment purchases	0	443
Current Liabilities		
Unpaid benefits	922	1,097
Administrative expenses	386	370
	1,308	1,467
Total Creditors	1,308	1,910
Net Debtors	4,173	(540)

6. CASH

The deposits held by fund managers can be further analysed as follows:

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Aberdeen: Private Equity Portfolio	10	10
GMO	3,413	2,893
Ruffer	1	0
Schroders: Multi Asset Portfolio	8	12
Schroders: Property Portfolio	5,930	4,272
London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund	0	7,579
TOTAL CASH	9,362	14,766

7. TAXATION**UK Income Tax**

Investment income is subject to UK tax which the Fund cannot recover under current tax legislation, except for tax deducted at source from Property unit trusts.

Value Added Tax

By virtue of Tower Hamlets Council being the Administering Authority, VAT input tax is recoverable on all Fund activities.

Overseas Tax

Taxation agreements exist between the UK and certain other European countries whereby a proportion of the tax deducted locally from investment earnings may be reclaimed. The proportion reclaimable and the timescale involved varies from country to country.

8. STATEMENT OF INVESTMENT PRINCIPLES

The Council, as the Administering Authority of the Pension Fund, is required to prepare, maintain and publish a Statement of Investment Principles (SIP) in accordance with the Local Authority Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) (Amendment) Regulations 1999. The SIP is published as part of the Local Government Pensions Scheme Annual Report which is due to be submitted to the Council's Pensions Committee on 15th November 2012.

9. MEMBERSHIP OF THE FUND

The following table sets out the membership of the Fund at 31st March

	2011	2012
London Borough of Tower Hamlets		
Active Members	5,219	4,780
Pensioners	3,779	3,892
Deferred Pensioners	5,338	5,756
Dependants	931	936
	15,267	15,364
Admitted & Scheduled Bodies		
Active Members	467	472
Pensioners	135	172
Deferred Pensioners	263	304
Dependants	2	4
	867	952

The following bodies have been admitted into the Fund:

Admitted Bodies

Capita
 Circle Anglia Ltd.
 East End Homes
 Ecovert FM Ltd.
 Gateway Housing Association (formerly Bethnal Green and Victoria Park Housing Association)
 Greenwich Leisure Limited
 Look Ahead Housing and Care
 One Housing Group (formerly Island Homes)
 Redbridge Community Housing Ltd.
 Swan Housing Association
 Tower Hamlets Community Housing

Scheduled Bodies

Bethnal Green Academy
 Canary Wharf College
 Sir William Burrough School
 St. Pauls Way Community School
 Tower Hamlets Homes Limited

10. INVESTMENTS

The Fund employs six specialist investment managers with mandates corresponding to the principal asset classes.

Manager

Baillie Gifford Life Ltd.
 GMO UK Ltd.
 Investec Asset Management
 Legal & General Investment Management
 Ruffer LLP
 Schroders Asset Management Property Fund

Mandate

Global Equity, Diversified Growth
 Global Equity
 Absolute Return Bonds
 UK Equity, Index Linked Gilts
 Diversified Growth
 Property

The value of the Fund, by manager, as at 31st March was as follows

	2011		2012	
	£ million	%	£ million	%
Baillie Gifford Life Ltd - Diversified Growth	40.2	5.0	41.7	5.1
Baillie Gifford Life Ltd - Equities	135.0	16.7	137.0	16.7
GMO UK Ltd.	204.7	25.3	200.0	24.4
Investec Asset Management	97.8	12.1	95.5	11.6
Legal & General Investment Management	201.6	24.9	212.0	25.8
Ruffer LLP	39.6	4.9	41.0	5.0
Schroders Asset Management Property Fund	90.2	11.1	93.8	11.4

10. INVESTMENTS (continued)

The movement in the opening and closing value of investments during the year, together with related direct transaction costs, were as follows:

	Market Value as at 1 Apr 2011 £'000	Purchases £'000	Sales £'000	Change in Market Value £'000	Market Value as at 31 Mar 2012 £'000	Transaction Costs £'000
Baillie Gifford Life Ltd - Diversified Growth	40,195	52	0	1,494	41,741	0
Baillie Gifford Life Ltd - Equities	135,023	0	0	1,975	136,998	0
GMO UK Ltd.	200,365	90,536	(79,827)	(15,000)	196,074	46
Investec Asset Management	97,834	0	0	(2,310)	95,524	0
Legal & General Investment Management	201,551	0	0	10,474	212,025	0
Ruffer LLP	39,644	1	0	1,387	41,032	0
Schroders Asset Management Property	84,020	10,960	(8,072)	2,824	89,732	0
Martin Currie Investment Management	(1)	0	0	1	0	0
Aberdeen No.2	2	0	0	(2)	0	0
Auriel Capital Management	(1)	0	0	1	0	0
	798,632	101,549	(87,899)	844	813,126	46

A further analysis of investments assets is as follows.

	Market Value as at 1 Apr 2011 £'000	Purchases £'000	Sales £'000	Change in Market Value £'000	Market Value as at 31 Mar 2012 £'000
UK Investment Assets					
Quoted	488,414	11,013	(8,072)	15,845	507,200
Unquoted	2	0	0	(2)	0
Overseas Investment Assets					
Quoted	310,623	90,821	(80,337)	(15,406)	305,701
Unquoted	(407)	(285)	510	407	225
	798,632	101,549	(87,899)	844	813,126

Derivative Contracts

The fund managers GMO UK Ltd is permitted to use forward foreign exchange contracts to mitigate the effect on returns of appreciation or depreciation of Sterling against the local currencies of the assets held or to adjust the foreign currency exposure of the portfolio. The only derivative contracts held at 31st March 2012 were forward foreign exchange contracts.

Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts are over-the-counter contracts whereby two parties agree to exchange currencies on a specified future date at an agreed rate of exchange. They are used to manage economic exposure to markets.

The amounts included in the accounts represent the unrealised gains or losses arising from the closing out of the contract at the reporting date. The market value of the contracts is represented by the gain or loss that would arise at the settlement date from entering into an equal and opposite contract at the reporting date.

The Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts are stated at fair value which is determined by the gain or loss that would arise at the settlement date from entering into an equal and opposite contract at the reporting date.

The global equity manager GMO is instructed to use forward foreign exchange contracts to minimise currency risk exposure. Net exposure to forward foreign exchange is restricted to 10% of the portfolio.

Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts

	Sterling value of obligation on purchase or sale date £'000	Sterling value of equal and opposite obligation at 31 March 2012 £'000	Gains/(losses) on Contract £'000
Currency contracted to purchase	(28,734)	28,449	(285)
Currency contracted to sell	31,020	(30,510)	510
Net Position	2,286	(2,061)	225

Contract	Manager	Expiration	Gains/(Losses) on Contract £'000
Australian Dollar Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	(22)
Canadian Dollar Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	2
Euro Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	17
Hong Kong Dollar Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	(60)
Japanese Yen Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	325
Norwegian Krone Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	3
Singapore Dollar Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	(56)
Swedish Krona Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	(14)
Swiss Franc Foreign Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	(2)
US Dollar Forward Currency	GMO UK Ltd	April 2012	32
Unrealised Gain			225

Unrealised gains were made on foreign exchange contracts in the year amounting to £0.225 million.

11. INVESTMENT INCOME

Investment income is broken down as follows.

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Income from fixed interest securities	37	0
Income from index linked securities	340	0
Dividends from UK equities	1,609	0
Dividends from overseas equities	5,660	9,164
Net rents from properties	2,769	2,906
Interest on cash deposits	(59)	(88)
Foreign tax	199	151
Underwriting costs, etc.	7	883
TOTAL	10,562	13,016

12 ACTUARIAL POSITION

The Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations require a triennial revaluation of the Fund to assess the adequacy of the Fund's investments and contributions in relation to its overall and future obligations. The contribution rate required for benefits accruing in the future is assessed by considering the benefits that accrue over the course of the three years to the next valuation. The employer's contribution rate is determined by the Actuary as part of the revaluation exercise.

The 2010 statutory triennial revaluation of the Pension Fund completed by the Actuary (Hymans Robertson) in the year estimated the deficit on the Fund to be £305 million and the funding level to be 71%. This compares to a deficit at the previous revaluation in 2007 of £205 million and a corresponding funding level of 78%.

The Actuary has determined that the deficit can be recovered over a period of 20 years and the agreed contributions to recover the deficit for the term of the revaluation is as set out below :-

	£m
2011/12	14.00
2012/13	15.25
2013/14	16.50

The FSS requires that the Fund operates the same target funding level of all on-going employers of 100% of its accrued liabilities valued on the on-going basis, to be achieved over a 20 year period (a period equivalent to the expected future working lifetime of the remaining scheme members). The valuation of the Fund as at 31st March 2010 determined that this would require a contribution (additional to the future contribution rate) of 12.9% of members' pensionable pay equivalent to £14.0 million per annum.

The Council, as Administering Authority, prepares a Funding Strategy Statement (FSS) in respect of the Fund in collaboration with the Fund's Actuary and after consultation with the employers and investment advisors. The Actuary is required to have regard to this statement when carrying out the valuation. The FSS includes the Fund's funding policy, the objectives of which are:

- to ensure the long-term solvency of the Fund
- to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet all benefits as they fall due for payment
- not to restrain unnecessarily the investment strategy of the Fund so that the Council can seek to maximise investment returns (and hence minimise the cost of the benefits) for an appropriate level of risk.

The basis of valuing the Fund's assets (see note 2) is compatible with the basis of placing a value on members' benefits as both are related to market conditions at the valuation date.

12. ACTUARIAL POSITION (continued)

In accordance with the funding policy, the Actuary determines the employer contribution requirement for future service for the Fund as a whole, and for employers who continue to admit new members. The cost of future service benefits is assessed, taking into account expected future salary increases. In order to place a current value on future benefit cashflows the Actuary "discounts" the future cashflows to the valuation date at a suitable rate. The Actuary adopts a "gilt-based" valuation which uses the yield on suitably dated Government bonds as the discount rate. This is then uplifted to the "funding basis discount rate" taking into account the Fund's current and expected future investment strategy to reflect the percentage by which the Fund is anticipated to "outperform" the yield on Government bonds. The contribution rate required to meet the expected cost of future service benefits is derived as this value less expected member contributions expressed as a percentage of the value of members' pensionable pay. This is known as the "Projected Unit method". The future contribution rate for 2011/12 was 15.8%.

In addition, the Actuary compares the value of the Fund's assets with the estimated cost of members' past service. The ratio of the asset value to the estimated cost of members' past service benefits is known as the "funding level". If the funding level is more than 100% there is a "surplus"; if it less than 100% there is a "shortfall". The next valuation will be as at 31st March 2013 and the recommendations implemented from 1st April 2014.

Although the funding shortfall is significant, it should be noted that current legislation provides that the level of members' basic pension entitlement and contributions are not affected by the financial position of the Fund. It is the Council's responsibility to ensure that pension entitlements are fully funded and that the impact on Council Tax is minimised. It should also be recognised that the Council is a long-term investor both because a high proportion of pension benefits do not become payable until far in the future and the Council has a relatively secure long-term income stream.

The latest full triennial actuarial valuation of the Fund's liabilities in accordance with IAS26 took place at 31st March 2010. The main actuarial assumptions used in revaluation and applied during the intervaluation period were as follows:

Financial Assumptions	Nominal	Real	
Price inflation (CPI)	3.3%		
Pay increases	5.3%	2.0%	Real rates are nominal rates
"Gilt based" discount rate	4.5%	1.2%	adjusted for inflation
Funding basis discount rate	5.9%	2.6%	

Longevity (in years)	Male	Female
Average future life expectancy for a pensioner aged 65 at the valuation date	21.0	23.8
Average future life expectancy at age 65 for a non-pensioner aged 45 at the valuation date	22.9	25.7

Actuarial Value of Promised Retirement Benefits

IAS 26 requires the present value of the Fund's promised retirement benefits to be disclosed and for this purpose the actuarial assumptions and methodology should be based on IAS19.

The actuarial present value of promised retirement benefits calculated in line with IAS19 assumptions is estimated to be £1,268 million (£1,122 million in 2010/11).

13. ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Investment Advice	170	115
Performance Measurement	15	16
Administration	883	847
Audit Fees	35	35
Other Fees	(100)	(11)
	1,003	1,002

14. RISK MANAGEMENT**Nature and extent of risks arising from financial instruments****Credit risk**

Credit risk is the risk that a counter party to a financial instrument may fail to pay amounts due to the Pension fund. The Fund carries out a review of its investment managers annual internal control reports to ensure that managers are diligent in their selection and use of counterparties and brokers. Deposits are made with banks and financial institutions that are rated independently and meet the Council's credit criteria.

The Fund employs a custodian to provide secure safe-keeping of the Fund's assets and to ensure that all trades are settled in a timely manner.

Liquidity risk

This is the risk that the Fund might not have the cash flow required in order to meet its financial obligations when they become due. Over the years contributions have tended to be greater than benefits and this has ensured that sufficient cash has been available to meet payments.

The Fund currently operates two bank accounts. One is held by the Fund's custodian (State Street Bank) and holds cash relating to the investment activities and the other is the LBTH Pension Fund bank account and this is used to hold cash relating to member activities.

Should the Fund have insufficient money available to meet its commitments it may, under Regulation 5.2 borrow cash for up to 90 days. If there was a longer term shortfall then the Fund's assets could be sold to provide additional cash. A significant proportion of the Fund is made up of readily realisable assets.

Market risk

This is the risk that fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risk comprises; interest rate risk, currency risk and other price risk. The Fund mitigates these risks as follows:

Interest rate risk

Cash deposits held in the Pension Fund bank account are invested in accordance with the Council's approved Treasury Management Strategy.

The Fund holds a percentage of its portfolio in fixed interest securities to mitigate this risk should interest rates fall.

The Fund's direct exposure to interest rate movements as at 31st March 2011 and 31st March 2012 is set out below.

Interest Rate Risk	As At 31st March 2011	As At 31st March 2012
Asset Type	£'000	£'000
Cash and cash equivalents	9,362	7,187
Cash balances	4,385	7,679
Fixed interest securities	135,739	141,436
Total	149,486	156,302

Interest Rate Risk - Sensitivity Analysis	Carrying Amount As At 31st March 2012	Change in year in net assets available to pay benefits	
		+100 BPS	-100 BPS
Asset Type		£'000	£'000
Cash and cash equivalents	7,187	72	(72)
Cash balances	7,679	77	(77)
Fixed interest securities	141,436	1,414	(1,414)
Total change in net assets available	156,302	1,563	(1,563)

Interest Rate Risk - Sensitivity Analysis	Carrying Amount As At 31st March 2011	Change in year in net assets available to pay benefits	
		+100 BPS	-100 BPS
Asset Type		£'000	£'000
Cash and cash equivalents	9,362	94	(94)
Cash balances	4,385	44	(44)
Fixed interest securities	135,739	1,357	(1,357)
Total change in net assets available	149,486	1,495	(1,495)

14. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)**Currency risk**

The Fund invests in financial instruments denominated in currencies other than Sterling and as a result is exposed to exchange rate risk. To alleviate this risk the Fund allows investment managers to use derivative contracts, in accordance with the contract conditions:

The following table summarises the Fund's currency exposure as at 31 March 2012 and as at the previous year end.

Currency Exposure - Asset Type	As At 31st March 2011 £'000	As At 31st March 2012 £'000
Asset Type		
Overseas quoted securities	177,487	174,622
Overseas unit trusts	8,511	7,966
Cash	3,230	2,725
Total overseas assets	189,228	185,313

Currency Exposure - Sensitivity Analysis	Carrying Amount As At 31st March 2012 £'000	Change in year in net assets available	
		+9.9%	-9.9%
Asset Type	£'000	£'000	£'000
Overseas quoted securities	174,622	191,910	157,334
Overseas unit trusts	7,966	8,755	7,177
Cash	2,725	2,995	2,455
Total change in net assets available	185,313	203,659	166,967

Currency Exposure - Sensitivity Analysis	Carrying Amount As At 31st March 2011 £'000	Change in year in net assets available	
		+9.9%	-9.9%
Asset Type	£'000	£'000	£'000
Overseas quoted securities	177,487	195,058	159,916
Overseas unit trusts	8,511	9,354	7,668
Cash	3,230	3,550	2,910
Total change in net assets available	189,228	207,962	170,494

The percentage change in the year of 9.9% represents the average change in currency exposure, derived by multiplying the weight of each currency by the change in its exchange rate relative to GBP.

Other Price risk

To mitigate the risk of a loss owing to a fall in market prices the Fund maintains a diverse portfolio of investments. Diversification ensures that the Fund has a balance of investments that offer different levels of risk and return.

The Fund employs a number of investment managers, with differing but complementary styles, to mitigate the risk of underperformance of any single manager and to ensure that any fall in market prices should not affect the Fund as a whole.

Manager performance and asset allocation policy is regularly reviewed by the Pensions Investment Panel. The Fund also uses certain derivative instruments as part of efficient portfolio management.

Other price risk - sensitivity analysis

Potential price changes are determined based on the observed historical volatility of asset class returns. 'Riskier' assets such as equities will display greater potential volatility than bonds. The potential volatilities are consistent with a one standard deviation movement in the change in value of the assets over the latest three years.

Following analysis of historical data and expected investment return movement during the financial year, in consultation with the Fund's investment advisors, the Council has determined that the following movements in market price risk are reasonably possible for the 2011/12 reporting period.

Price Risk - sensitivity analysis	Potential Market Movements (+/-)
Asset Type	
UK equities	15.6%
Global equity	15.6%
Total fixed interest	4.2%
Alternatives	13.9%
Cash	0.0%
Pooled Property Investments	7.0%

Had the market price of the Fund's investments increased/decreased in line with the above, the change in net assets available to pay benefits in the market price would have been as follows:

Asset Type	Value as at 31 March 2012 £'000	Percentage change %	Value on increase £'000	Value on decrease £'000
Cash and cash equivalents	7,187	0.0%	7,187	7,187
Investment portfolio assets				
UK equities	166,113	15.6%	192,027	140,199
Global equity	332,848	15.6%	384,772	280,924
Total fixed interest	141,436	4.2%	147,376	135,496
Alternatives	82,773	13.9%	94,278	71,268
Pooled Property Investments	89,732	7.0%	96,013	83,451
Net derivative assets	224	0.0%	224	224
Investment income due	1,270	0.0%	1,270	1,270
Amounts receivable for sales				
Amounts payable for purchases	(443)	0.0%	(443)	(443)
Total assets available to pay benefits	821,140		922,705	719,575

14. RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Had the market price of the Fund's investments increased/decreased in line with the above, the change in net assets available to pay benefits in the market price would have been as follows:

Asset Type	Value as at 31 March 2011 £'000	Percentage change %	Value on increase £'000	Value on decrease £'000
Cash and cash equivalents	9,362	0.0%	9,362	9,362
Investment portfolio assets				
UK equities	163,646	15.6%	189,175	138,117
Global equity	335,794	15.6%	388,178	283,410
Total fixed interest	135,739	4.2%	141,440	130,038
Alternatives	79,839	13.9%	90,937	68,741
Pooled Property Investments	84,020	7.0%	89,901	78,139
Net derivative assets	(407)	0.0%	(407)	(407)
Investment income due	1,096	0.0%	1,096	1,096
Amounts receivable for sales				
Amounts payable for purchases				
Total assets available to pay benefits	809,089		909,682	708,496

Refinancing risk

The Council does not have any financial instruments that have a refinancing risk as part of its treasury management and investment strategies.

15. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS DISCLOSURES

The net assets of the Fund are made up of the following categories of financial instruments:

	Long-term		Current	
	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Financial Assets				
Loans and receivables	0	0	1,118	1,301
Available for sale financial assets	799,039	812,902	0	0
Financial assets at fair value through profit or loss	0	0	14,017	15,344
Total Financial Assets	799,039	812,902	15,135	16,645
Financial Liabilities				
Payables	0	0	(1,308)	(1,910)
Financial liabilities at fair value through profit or loss	0	0	(699)	(285)
Total Financial Liabilities	0	0	(2,007)	(2,195)

Fair Value Hierarchy

IFRS7 requires the Fund to classify fair value instruments using a three-level hierarchy.

The three levels are summarised as follows:

Level 1 - inputs that reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 2 - inputs other than quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets

Level 3 - inputs that are not based on observable data

The following sets out the Fund's assets and liabilities according to the fair value hierarchy as at 31st March 2012.

	Level 1 £'000	Level 2 £'000	Level 3 £'000	Total £'000
Equities	177,932	0	0	177,932
Pooled Funds				
Unit Trusts	460,149	0	0	460,149
Property Unit Trust	92,048	0	0	92,048
Other	82,772	0	0	82,772
Derivative Contracts				
Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts	0	225	0	225
Cash and bank Deposits	14,835	0	0	14,835
Current Assets	1,301	0	0	1,301
Current Liabilities	(1,910)	0	0	(1,910)
	827,127	225	0	827,352

During the year ended 31st March 2012 there were no transfers between the levels of the fair value hierarchy.

The equivalents at 31st March 2011 were as follows:

	Level 1 £'000	Level 2 £'000	Level 3 £'000	Total £'000
Equities	180,091	0	0	180,091
Pooled Funds				
Unit Trusts	452,951	0	0	452,951
Property Unit Trust	86,158	0	0	86,158
Other	79,839	0	0	79,839
Derivative Contracts				
Forward Foreign Exchange Contracts	0	(407)	0	(407)
Cash and bank Deposits	13,725	0	0	13,725
Current Assets	1,118	0	0	1,118
Current Liabilities	(1,308)	0	0	(1,308)
	812,574	(407)	0	812,167

16. INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT EXPENSES

	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Payments to Managers	2,118	2,174

Where a fund manager deducts the investment management fee from the net asset value of the Fund this is shown in the Fund Account as an investment management expense and is reflected in the balance sheet as a reduced closing net asset value.

17. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund is administered by The London Borough of Tower Hamlets.

In accordance with IAS24 'Related Party Disclosure', material transactions with related parties not disclosed elsewhere in the financial statements are detailed below.

The Council incurred costs of £850k (£868k 2010/11) relating to administration of the Fund and has been reimbursed by the Fund for these expenses. The Council contributed £15.3m (£14.0m 2010/11) to the Fund in respect of back funding. All monies owing to and from the Fund were paid in the year.

During the year no Committee Members or Council Chief Officers with direct responsibility for pension fund issues, have undertaken any declarable transactions with the Pension Fund, other than administrative services undertaken by the Council on behalf of the Pension Fund.

The pension fund cash held by London Borough of Tower Hamlets is invested on the money markets by the treasury management operations of the Council. During the year to 31st March 2012, the Fund held an average investment of £5.9m (£3.9m 31st March 2011), earning interest of £94k, (£49k 2010/11).

The Council has a subsidiary company, Tower Hamlets Homes, who are within the Fund. During the year the Fund received contribution payments totalling £2.3m (£2.6m 2010/11) from this company.

Fund administration expenses payable to the administering authority are as set out in the table below.

Compensation of key management - It was not practical to include costs relating to key management personnel within the Pension Fund Accounts, principally as they are charged to the Council's Accounts and have not been charged to the Pension Fund. All costs are disclosed within note 33 of the Council's main accounts.

Fund Administration Expenses	2010/11 £'000	2011/12 £'000
Payroll / HR Support	790	772
Corporate Finance	78	78
	868	850

Governance

Each member of the pension fund committee is required to declare their interests at each meeting of the Committee. These are recorded as part of the public record of each meeting. Members of the Pension Fund Committee who have involvement with other organisations are listed in the table below.

Organisation	Councillor	Relationship with the Organisation	Pension Contribution received from the Organisation 2010/11 £'000	Pension Contribution received from the Organisation 2011/12 £'000
Smithy Street Primary School	Aminur Khan	Governor and spouse are governors	15	20

18. CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

There were no contingent liabilities as at 31st March 2012.

19. CONTINGENT ASSETS

Admitted body employers in the Fund hold insurance bonds to guard against the possibility of not being able to meet their pension obligations. These bonds are drawn in favour of the pension fund and payment will only be triggered in event of employer default.

20. POST BALANCE SHEET EVENTS

There are no significant post balance sheet events to report.

Appendix 2 - Statement of Investment Principles

Introduction

This is the Statement of Investment Principles adopted by the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund (“the Scheme”) as required by the Local Authority Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009. It is subject to periodic review by the Pensions Committee which acts on delegated authority of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets. The Pensions Committee receives recommendations and advice from the Investment Panel which oversees the investment management of the Scheme on a day to day basis. The terms of reference for the Pensions Committee within the Council’s Constitution (3.1.1.10 1) are:

- To consider pension matters and meet the obligations and the duties of the Council under the Superannuation Act 1972 and the various statutory requirements in respect of investment matters.

The Pensions Committee has responsibility for:

- Determining an overall investment strategy
- Appointing the investment managers, an independent custodian, an investment advisor, the actuary and any other external consultants where considered necessary
- Reviewing on a regular basis the investment managers’ performance and the quality of their internal controls systems
- Reviewing the Statement of Investment Principles, the Governance Compliance Statement and the Funding Strategy Statement at regular intervals

In preparing this Statement, the Pensions Committee has taken written advice from the Investment Practice of Hymans Robertson Consultants and Actuaries.

The Myners Code of Investment Principles

The Government commissioned a report in 2000 entitled “Review of Institutional Investment in the UK”. The Review, which was undertaken by Paul Myners was published in March 2001 and is referred to as The Myners Review. The Pensions Committee of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets believes the Myners Report constitutes an important guide to best practice in the management of pension schemes. Following a review in October 2008 the Treasury published a revised set of six principles. Local authorities are required to state the extent to which the administering authority Compliant with the six principles set out in a document published by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy entitled “CIPFA Pensions Panel Principles for Investment Decision Making in the Local Government Pension Scheme Investment in the United Kingdom”.

The Investment Panel has produced, and maintains, a record of compliance (Myners Code Adherence Document) with these principles on behalf of the Pensions Committee.

The extent to which the Scheme complies with these principles is outlined in the table at the end of this document.

Fund Objective

The primary objective of the Scheme is to provide pension and lump sum benefits for members on their retirement and/or benefits on death, before or after retirement, for their dependents, on a defined benefits basis.

The Council aims to fund the Scheme in such a manner that, in normal market conditions, all accrued benefits are fully covered by the value of the Scheme's assets and that an appropriate level of contributions is agreed by the employer to meet the cost of future benefits accruing. For employee members, benefits will be based on service completed but will take account of future salary increases.

This funding position will be reviewed at each triennial actuarial valuation, or more frequently as required.

Investment Strategy

The Investment Panel has translated these objectives into a suitable strategic asset allocation benchmark for the Scheme. All day to day investment decisions have been delegated to the Scheme's authorised investment managers. The strategic benchmark has been translated into benchmarks for the Scheme's investment managers which are consistent with the Scheme's overall strategy. The Scheme benchmark is consistent with the Investment Panel's views on the appropriate balance between maximising the long-term return on investments and minimising short-term volatility and risk.

The investment strategy takes due account of the maturity profile of the Scheme (in terms of the relative proportions of liabilities in respect of pensioners and active members), together with the level of disclosed surplus or deficit (relative to the funding bases used on an ongoing basis).

The Investment Panel monitors strategy relative to its agreed asset allocation benchmark. It is intended that investment strategy will be reviewed at least every three years following actuarial valuations of the Scheme.

To achieve its objectives the Pensions Committee has agreed the following with the Investment Panel:

Choosing Investments: The Investment Panel is responsible for the appointment of investment managers who are authorised under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 to undertake investment business. The Investment Panel, after seeking appropriate investment advice, has given the managers specific directions as to the asset allocation, but investment choice has been delegated to the managers, subject to their specific benchmarks and asset guidelines.

Kinds of investment to be held: The Scheme may invest in quoted and unquoted securities of UK and overseas markets including equities and fixed interest and index linked bonds, cash, property and pooled funds. The Scheme may also make use of derivatives and contracts for difference for the purpose of efficient portfolio management. The Investment Panel considers all of these classes of investment to be suitable in the circumstances of the Scheme. The Fund's structure and benchmarks are set out in the table below.

Current Managers and Mandates		
Manager	Mandate	Target
Baillie Gifford	Global Equities Diversified Growth	Outperform benchmark by 2-3% over a rolling 3 year period 3.5 above UK Base Rate
GMO	Overseas Equities	Outperform benchmark by 1.5% over a rolling 3 year period
Investec	Pooled Bonds	3 month LIBOR +2% pa
Legal & General	UK Equities UK Index Linked	FTSE All share FTSE A Gov Index Linked >5yrs
Ruffer	Diversified Growth	Greater than the expected return on cash
Schroders	Property	Outperform benchmark by 0.75% over a rolling 3 year period

Balance between different kinds of investments: The Scheme's investment managers will hold a mix of investments which reflects their views relative to their respective benchmarks. Within each major market the managers will maintain diversified portfolios of investments through direct holdings or pooled vehicles. The asset allocation varies over time due to the impact of changing market conditions and manager performance creating an imbalance between target and actual allocation. If the Fund moves more than 5% away from target then consideration is given to rebalancing. In 2012 the Fund allocation had moved more than 5% away from strategic allocation originally agreed, necessitating a rebalancing of the Fund to move the asset allocation back to target.

Risk: The Investment Panel provides a practical constraint on Scheme investments deviating greatly from its intended approach by adopting a specific asset allocation benchmark and by setting manager-specific benchmark guidelines. The Investment Panel monitors the managers' adherence to benchmarks and guidelines. In appointing more than one investment manager, the Investment Panel has considered the risk of underperformance of any single investment manager.

Expected return on investments: Over the long term, the overall level of investment returns is expected to exceed the rate of return assumed by the actuary in funding the Scheme. In the short term returns are measured against a peer group benchmark.

Realisation of investments: The majority of investments held within the Scheme may be realised quickly if required. As the Fund is cash flow positive there will not be a need to realise investments quickly at least in the medium term.

Social, Environmental and Ethical Considerations: The Council has a fiduciary responsibility to obtain the best level of investment return consistent with the defined risk parameters as embodied in the strategic benchmark. However, the Council recognises that Social, Ethical and Environmental issues are factors to be taken into consideration in assessing investments. The investment managers have confirmed they pay due attention to these factors in the selection, retention and realisation of investments. The Investment Panel will monitor the managers' statements and activities in this regard.

Exercise of Voting Rights: The Investment Panel has delegated the exercise of voting rights to the investment managers on the basis that voting power will be exercised by them with the objective of preserving and enhancing long term shareholder value. Accordingly, the managers have produced written guidelines of their processes and practices in this regard. The managers are encouraged to vote in line with their guidelines in respect of all resolutions at annual and extraordinary general meetings of companies.

Stock Lending

The Fund does not currently participate in a stock lending arrangement.

Additional Voluntary Contributions (AVCs): The Investment Panel gives members the opportunity to invest in a range of vehicles at the members' discretion.

Principle	Compliance	Compliance
<p>Principle 1: Effective Decision Making</p> <p>Administering authorities should ensure that: Decisions are taken by persons or organisations with the skills, knowledge, advice and resources to make them effectively and monitor their implementation; Those persons or organisations have sufficient expertise to be able to evaluate and challenge the advice they receive, and manage conflicts of interest.</p>	<p>The Council has a Pensions Committee and an Investment Panel who meet on a quarterly basis for decision making purposes.</p> <p>The Fund’s Governance Compliance Statement sets out the governance structure, Terms of Reference, delegations and representation.</p> <p>All members and officers of the Committee are required to undertake training on a periodic basis to ensure that they attain the necessary knowledge and skills with which to undertake their duties effectively. To ensure that they are fully aware of their statutory and fiduciary responsibilities new members are provided with a handbook containing the Committee’s terms of reference, standing orders and operational procedures. Two training days per year are arranged for the committee members to deliver training.</p> <p>The committee intends to use the CIPFA knowledge and skills framework as the basis for a training programme to assess the training needs of its members and to actively monitor the progress made.</p> <p>The Fund contracts an actuary, a professional investment advisor and an independent investment advisor all of who attend committee meetings throughout the year and provide advice to committee members. Other expert advisors attend as required.</p>	<p>Compliant</p>
<p>Principle 2: Clear Objectives</p> <p>An overall investment objective should be</p>	<p>The Fund’s aims and objectives are set out in its Funding Strategy Statement and Investment Management Agreements are in place on the segregated mandates held by the Fund. The funding strategy is</p>	<p>Compliant</p>

Principle	Compliance	Compliance
<p>set out for the fund that takes account of the scheme's liabilities, the potential impact on local tax payers, the strength of the covenant for non-local authority employers, and the attitude to risk of both the administering authority and scheme employers, and these should be clearly communicated to advisors and investment managers.</p>	<p>reviewed at each triennial valuation and the actuarial position and financial impact on scheme employers and tax payers is considered when formulating the investment strategy.</p> <p>All external procurement is conducted within EU procurement regulations and the authority's own procurement rules.</p> <p>The Fund is aware of the investment management fees charged by the investment managers and transaction related costs, and this is considered when letting and monitoring contracts for investment management.</p>	
<p>Principle 3: Risk and Liabilities In setting and reviewing their investment strategy, administering authorities should take account of the form and structure of liabilities. These include the implications for local tax payers, the strength of the covenant for participating employers, the risk of their default and longevity risk.</p>	<p>Following each triennial valuation the Committee assesses the structure of the Fund's liabilities and, where necessary, amends its investment strategy to ensure that it remains appropriate to the Fund's liability profile. The same investment strategy is currently followed by all employers. The Fund's liabilities are long term in nature and the investment strategy reflects this liability profile by investing in long term generating assets. The Fund's benchmark includes a significant holding in equities in pursuit of long term higher returns. Allowances are made for periods of underperformance in the short term.</p> <p>The triennial valuation sets out the liability profile for each individual employer. The strength of covenant of each employing body and risk of default is taken into consideration when setting the employer contribution rate.</p> <p>The Fund has an active risk management programme in place. The risk management process is outlined in the Fund's Annual Report and Accounts.</p>	<p>Compliant</p>

Principle	Compliance	Compliance
	The Committee receives the external auditor's Annual Governance Report which states their assessment of the risk management process.	
<p>Principle 4: Performance assessment Arrangements should be in place for the formal measurement of performance of the investments, investment managers and advisors. Administering authorities should also periodically make a formal assessment of their own effectiveness as a decision-making body and report on this to the scheme members.</p>	<p>The Fund's Investment Panel meets quarterly to review the Fund's performance against its investment objective. In consultation with the Fund's investment advisors the Committee will assess the performance of the investment managers and consider whether any action is required. The fund managers attend the Investment Panel meetings periodically. The Fund employs the WM company to measure the performance of its investment managers. The Fund's Annual Report is presented to the Committee explaining the Fund's activities and decisions taken during the year. This allows the Investment Panel to reflect on the effectiveness of its strategy and also the management of the fund managers to deliver against agreed benchmarks.</p>	Compliant
<p>Principle 5: Responsible ownership Administering authorities should: Adopt, or ensure their investment managers adopt, the Institutional Shareholders' Committee Statement of Principles on the responsibilities of shareholders and agents, include a statement of their policy on responsible ownership in the statement of investment principles. Report periodically to scheme members on the discharge of such responsibilities.</p>	<p>The Fund requires its investment managers to adopt the Institute Shareholders Committee Statement of Principles. The extent to which these principles are taken into account in the selection, retention and realisation of investments is left to the manager's discretion. The manager's activities in this regard are reviewed by the Investment Panel. The Fund's approach to responsible ownership is set out in its Statement of Investment Principles. Any significant issues arising over the year are reported in the Fund's Annual Report.</p>	Compliant

Principle	Compliance	Compliance
<p>Principle 6: Transparency and reporting Administering authorities act in a transparent manner, communicating with stakeholders on issues relating to their management of investment, its governance and risks, including performance against stated objectives. Provide regular communication to scheme members in the form they consider most appropriate.</p>	<p>The Fund publishes a Governance Policy Statement, a Communications Strategy, a Funding Strategy Statement, and a Statement of Investment Principles. The statements are reviewed and updated when required and are approved by the Pensions Committee.</p> <p>Fund manager performance data is included in the Fund’s Annual Report and Accounts.</p> <p>The statements form part of a suite of annual report documentation which may be found on the website http://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk</p> <p>An Annual Benefits Statement is sent hard copy to active and deferred members of the Fund. Pensioner members receive an annual newsletter detailing any information affecting pensions in payment.</p>	<p>Compliant</p>

Appendix 3 - FUNDING STRATEGY STATEMENT

1. Introduction

This is the Funding Strategy Statement (FSS) of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund (“the Fund”), which is administered by the London Borough of Tower Hamlets (“the Administering Authority”).

It has been prepared by the Administering Authority in collaboration with the Fund’s actuary, Hymans Robertson LLP, and after consultation with the Fund’s employers and investment adviser and is effective from 31 March 2011.

1.1 Regulatory Framework

1.1.1 Members’ accrued benefits are guaranteed by statute. Members’ contributions are fixed in the Regulations at a level which covers only part of the cost of accruing benefits. Employers pay the balance of the cost of delivering the benefits to members’. The FSS focuses on the pace at which these liabilities are funded and, insofar as is practical, the measures to ensure that employers pay for their own liabilities.

1.1.2 The FSS forms part of a framework which includes:

- the Local Government Pension Scheme Regulations 1997 (Regulations 76A and 77 are particularly relevant); replaced from 1 April 2008 with the Local Government Pension Scheme (Administration) Regulations 2008, regulations 35 and 36;
- the Rates and Adjustments Certificate, which can be found appended to the Fund actuary’s triennial valuation report;
- actuarial factors for valuing early retirement costs and the cost of buying extra service; and
- the Statement of Investment Principles.

1.1.3 This is the framework within which the Fund’s actuary carries out triennial valuations to set employers’ contributions, and provides recommendations to the Administering Authority when other funding decisions are required, such as when employers join or leave the Fund. The FSS applies to all employers participating in the Fund.

1.1.4 The key requirements relating to the FSS are that:

- After consultation with all relevant interested parties involved with the Fund, the administering authority will prepare and publish their funding strategy.
- In preparing the FSS, the Administering Authority must have regard to:
 - FSS guidance produced by CIPFA

- Its statement of investment principles published under Regulation 12 of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009.

- The FSS must be revised and published whenever there is a material change in either the policy on the matters set out in the FSS or the Statement of Investment Principles.

The Fund's actuary must have regard to the FSS as part of the fund valuation process

1.2 Reviews of FSS

1.2.1 The FSS is reviewed in detail at least every three years as part of the triennial valuation being carried out, with the next full review due to be completed by 31 March 2014. More frequently, Annex A is updated to reflect any changes to employers.

1.2.2 The FSS is a summary of the Fund's approach to funding liabilities. It is not an exhaustive statement of policy on all issues. If you have any queries please contact Oladapo Shonola in the first instance at Oladapo.Shonola@towerhamlets.gov.uk or on 020 7364 4733.

2. Purpose

2.1 Purpose of FSS

2.1.1 The department for Communities and Local Government (CLG), formally the Office of the Deputy prime Minister, has stated that the purpose of the FSS is:

- *“to establish a **clear and transparent fund-specific strategy** which will identify how employers' pension liabilities are best met going forward;*
- *to support the regulatory framework to maintain **as nearly constant employer contribution rates as possible**; and*
- *to take a **prudent longer-term view** of funding those liabilities”.*

These objectives are desirable individually, but may be mutually conflicting. Whilst the position of individual employers must be reflected in the statement, it must remain a single strategy for the Administering Authority to implement and maintain.

2.1.2 This statement sets out how the Administering Authority has balanced the conflicting aims of affordability of contributions, transparency of processes, stability of employers' contributions, and prudence in the funding basis.

2.2 Purpose of the Fund

2.2.1 The fund is a vehicle by which scheme benefits are delivered. The Fund:

- receives contributions, transfer payments and investment income;
- pays scheme benefits, transfer values and administration costs.

2.2.2 One of the objectives of a funded scheme is to reduce the variability of pension costs over time for employers compared with an unfunded (pay-as-you-go) alternative.

2.2.3 The roles and responsibilities of the key parties involved in the management of the Fund are summarised in Annex B.

2.3 Aims of the Funding Policy

2.3.1 The objectives of the Fund's funding policy include the following:

- to ensure the long-term solvency of the Fund;
- to ensure that sufficient funds are available to meet all benefits as they fall due for payment;
- not to restrain unnecessarily the investment strategy of the Fund so that the Administering Authority can seek to maximise investment returns (and hence minimise the cost of the benefits) for an appropriate level of risk
- to minimise the degree of short-term change in the level of each employer's contributions where the Administering Authority considers it reasonable to do so;
- to use reasonable measures to reduce the risk to other employers and ultimately to the Council Tax payer from an employer defaulting on its pension obligations.

3. Solvency Issues and Target Funding Levels

3.1 Derivation of Employer Contributions

3.1.1 Employer contributions are normally made up of two elements:

- a) the estimated cost of future benefits being accrued, referred to as the "*future service rate*" plus
- b) an adjustment for the funding position (or "solvency") of accrued benefits relative to the Fund's solvency target, "*past service adjustment*". If there is a surplus there may be a contribution reduction; if a deficit a contribution addition, with the surplus or deficit spread over an appropriate period (see 3.3.3 below for deficit recovery periods).

3.1.2 The Fund's actuary is required by the regulations to report the *Common Contribution Rate*¹, for all employers collectively at each triennial valuation. It combines

¹ See Regulation 77(4)

items (a) and (b) and is expressed as a percentage of pay. For the purpose of calculating the Common Contribution Rate, the surplus or deficit under (b) is currently spread over a period of 20 years.

3.1.3 The Fund's actuary is also required to adjust the Common Contribution Rate for circumstances which are deemed "peculiar" to an individual employer². It is the adjusted contribution rate which employers are actually required to pay. The sorts of peculiar factors which are considered are discussed in Section 3.5.

3.1.4 In effect, the *Common Contribution Rate* is a notional quantity. Separate future service rates are calculated for each employer together with individual past service adjustments according to employer-specific spreading and phasing periods.

3.1.5 For some employers it may be agreed to pool contributions, see Section 3.7.8.

3.1.6 Annex A contains a breakdown of each employer's contributions following the 2010 valuation for the financial years 2011/12, 2012/13 and 2013/14. It includes a reconciliation of each employer's rate with the *Common Contribution Rate*. It also identifies which employers' contributions have been pooled with others.

3.1.7 Any costs of non ill-health early retirements must be paid as lump sum payments at the time of the employer's decision in addition to the contributions described above (or by instalments shortly after the decision).

3.1.8 Employers' contributions are expressed as minima, with employers able to pay regular contributions at a higher rate. Employers should discuss with the Administering Authority before making one-off capital payments.

3.2 Solvency and Target Funding Levels

3.2.1 The Fund's actuary is required to report on the "solvency" of the whole fund at least every three years.

3.2.2 "Solvency" for ongoing employers is defined to be the ratio of the current market value of assets on the value placed on accrued benefits on the Fund Actuary's *ongoing funding basis*. This quantity is known as a funding level.

3.2.3 The ongoing funding basis is that used for each triennial valuation and the Fund actuary agrees the financial and demographic assumptions to be used for each such valuation with the administering authority. The ongoing funding basis assumes employers in the Fund are an ongoing concern and is described in the next section.

The ongoing funding basis has traditionally been used for each triennial valuation for all employers in the fund.

Where an admission agreement for an admission body that is not a Transferee Admission Body and has no guarantor is likely to terminate within the next 5 to 10 years or lose its last active member within that timeframe, the fund reserves the right to set contribution rates by reference to liabilities valued on a gilts basis (i.e. using a discount

² See Regulation 77(6)

rate that has no allowance for potential investment outperformance relative to gilts). The target in setting contributions for any employer in these circumstances is to achieve full funding on a gilts basis by the time the agreement terminates or the last active member leaves in order to protect other employers in the fund. This policy will increase regular contributions and reduce, but not entirely eliminate, the possibility of a final deficit payment being required when a cessation valuation is carried out.

The Fund also reserves the right to adopt the above approach in respect of those admission bodies with no guarantor, where the strength of covenant is considered to be weak but there is no immediate expectation that the admission agreement will cease.

The Fund actuary agrees the financial and demographic assumptions to be used for each such valuation with the administering authority.

The Fund operates the same target funding level for all ongoing employers of 100% of its accrued liabilities valued on the ongoing basis. The time horizon of the funding target for Community and Transferee Admission bodies will vary depending on the expected duration of their participation in the Fund. Please refer to Section 3.10 for the treatment of departing employers.

3.3 Ongoing Funding Basis

3.3.1 The demographic assumptions are intended to be best estimates of future experience in the Fund based on past experience of LGPS funds advised by the Fund actuary. It is acknowledged that future life expectancy and in particular, the allowance for future improvements in mortality, is uncertain. Employers should be aware that their contributions are likely to increase in future if longevity exceeds the funding assumptions. The approach taken is considered reasonable in light of the long term nature of the Fund and the assumed statutory guarantee underpinning members' benefits. The demographic assumptions vary by type of member and so reflect the different profiles of employers

3.3.2 The key financial assumption is the anticipated return on the Fund's investments. The investment return assumption makes allowance for anticipated returns from equities in excess of bonds. There is, however, no guarantee that equities will outperform bonds. The risk is greater when measured over short periods such as the three years between formal actuarial valuations, when the actual returns and assumed returns can deviate sharply.

3.3.3 In the light of the statutory requirement for the Actuary to consider the stability of employer contributions it is therefore normally appropriate to restrict the degree of change to employers' contributions at triennial valuation dates.

3.3.4 Given the very long-term nature of the liabilities, a long-term view of prospective returns from equities is taken. For the 2010 valuation, it is assumed that the Fund's equity investments will deliver an average additional real return of 1.4% a year in excess of the return available from investing in index-linked government bonds at the time of the valuation.

The same financial assumptions are adopted for all ongoing employers. All employers have the same asset allocation.

Details of other significant financial assumptions and their derivation are given in the Fund Actuary's formal valuation report.

3.4 **Future Service Contribution Rates**

3.4.1 The future service element of the employer contribution rate is traditionally calculated on the ongoing valuation basis, with the aim of ensuring that there are sufficient assets built up to meet future benefit payments in respect of future service. The future service rate has been calculated separately for all the employers, although employers within a pool will pay the contribution rate applicable to the pool as a whole. Where it is considered appropriate to do so then the Administering Authority reserves the right to set a future service rate by reference to liabilities valued on a gilts basis (most usually for admission bodies that are not a Transferee Admission Body and that have no guarantor in place). The approach used to calculate each employer's future service contribution rate depends on whether or not new entrants are being admitted. Employers should note that it is only Admitted Bodies that may have the power not to admit automatically all eligible new staff to the Fund, depending on the terms of their Admission Agreements and employment contracts.

3.4.2 ***Employers that admit new entrants***

The employer's future service rate will be based upon the cost (in excess of members' contributions) of the benefits which employee members earn from their service each year. Technically, these rates will be derived using the *Projected Unit Method* of valuation with a one-year control period.

If future experience is in line with assumptions, and the employer's membership profile remains stable, this rate should be broadly stable over time. If the membership of employees matures (e.g. because of lower recruitment) the rate would rise.

3.4.3 ***Employers that do not admit new entrants***

Certain Admission Bodies who have closed the scheme to new entrants. This is expected to lead to the average age of employee members increasing over time and hence, all other things being equal, the future service rate is expected to increase as membership ages.

To give more long term stability to such employers' contributions, the Attained Age Method is normally adopted. This will limit the degree of future contribution rises by paying higher rates at outset.

Both funding methods are described in the Actuary's formal report on the valuation.

Future service rates calculated under both funding methods will include related administration expenses, to the extent that they are borne by the Fund, and will include an allowance for benefits payable on death in service and ill health retirement.

3.5 Adjustments for Individual Employers

3.5.1 Adjustments to individual employer contribution rates are applied both through the calculation of employer-specific future service contribution rates and the calculation of the employer's asset share.

The combined effect of these adjustments for individual employers applied by the Fund actuary relate to:

- past contributions relative to the cost of accruals of benefits;
- different liability profiles of employers (e.g. mix of members by age, gender, manual/non manual);
- the effect of any differences in the valuation basis on the value placed on the employer's liabilities;
- any different deficit/surplus spreading periods or phasing of contribution changes;
- the difference between actual and assumed rises in pensionable pay;
- the difference between actual and assumed increases to pensions in payment and deferred pensions;
- the difference between actual and assumed retirements on grounds of ill-health from active status;
- the difference between actual and assumed amounts of pension ceasing on death;
- the additional costs of any non ill-health retirements relative to any extra payments made;

over the period between the 2007 and 2010 triennial valuation and each subsequent triennial valuation period.

3.5.2 Actual investment returns achieved on the Fund between each valuation are applied proportionately across all employers. Transfers of liabilities between employers within the Fund occur automatically within this process, with a sum broadly equivalent to the reserve required on the ongoing basis being exchanged between the two employers.

The Fund actuary does not allow for certain relatively minor events occurring in the period since the last formal valuation, including, but not limited to:

- the actual timing of employer contributions within any financial year;
- the effect of refunds of contributions or individual transfers to other Funds;

- the effect of the premature payment of any deferred pensions on grounds of incapacity.

3.5.3 These effects are swept up within a miscellaneous item in the analysis of surplus, which is split between employers in proportion to their liabilities.

3.6 **Asset Share Calculations for Individual Employers**

3.6.1 The Administering Authority does not account for each employer's assets separately. The Fund's actuary is required to apportion the assets of the whole fund between the employers at each triennial valuation using the income and expenditure figures provided for certain cash flows for each employer. This process adjusts for transfers of liabilities between employers participating in the Fund, but does make a number of simplifying assumptions. The split is calculated using an actuarial technique known as "analysis of surplus". The methodology adopted means that there will inevitably be some difference between the asset shares calculated for individual employers and those that would have resulted had they participated in their own ring-fenced section of the Fund. The asset apportionment is capable of verification but not to audit standard.

The Administering Authority recognises the limitations in the process, but having regard to the extra administration cost of building in new protections, it considers that the Fund Actuary's approach addresses the risks of employer cross-subsidisation to an acceptable degree.

3.7 **Stability of Employer Contributions**

3.7.1 Solvency Issues and target Funding Levels

A key challenge for the Administering Authority is to balance the need for stable, affordable employer contributions with the requirement to take a prudent, longer-term view of funding and ensure the solvency of the Fund. With this in mind, there are a number of prudential strategies that the Administering Authority may deploy in order to maintain employer contribution rates at as nearly a constant rate as possible. These include:-

- capping of employer contribution rate increases / decreases within a pre-determined range ("Stabilisation")
- the pooling of contributions amongst employers with similar characteristics
- the use of extended deficit recovery periods
- the phasing in of contribution increases/decreases

3.7.2 Stabilisation

There can be occasions when, despite the deployment of contribution stabilising mechanisms such as pooling, phasing and the extension of deficit recovery periods, the theoretical employer contribution rate is not affordable or achievable. This can occur in times of tight fiscal control or where budgets have been set in advance of new employer contribution rates being available.

In view of this possibility, the Administering Authority has commissioned the Pension Fund actuary to carry out extensive modelling to explore the long term effect on the Pension Fund of capping future contribution increases. The results of this modelling indicate that it is justifiable to limit employer contribution rate changes, subject to the following conditions being met:

- the Administering Authority is satisfied that the status of the employer merits adoption of a stabilised approach; and
- there were no material events occurring before 1 April 2011 which rendered the stabilisation unjustifiable.

In the interests of stability and affordability of employer contributions, the Administering Authority, on the advice of the Pension Fund actuary, believes that the results of the modelling demonstrate that stabilising contributions can still be viewed as a prudent longer-term approach. However, employers whose contribution rates have been “stabilised” and are currently paying less than their theoretical contribution rate should be aware of the risks of this approach and should consider making additional payments to the Pension Fund if possible.

The Pension Fund currently has a strong net cash inflow and can therefore take a medium to long term view on determining employer contribution rates to meet future liabilities through operating a fund with an investment strategy that reflects this long term view. It allows short term investment markets volatility to be managed so as not to cause volatility in employer contribution rates.

The Scheme regulations require the longer term funding objectives to be to achieve and maintain assets to meet the projected accrued liabilities within reasonably stable employer contribution rates. The role of the Pension Fund actuary, in performing the necessary calculations and determining the key assumptions used, is an important feature in determining the funding requirements. The approach to the actuarial valuation and key assumptions used at each triennial valuation form part of the consultation undertaken with the FSS.

3.7.3 Deficit Recovery Periods

3.7.3.1 The Administering Authority instructs the actuary to adopt specific deficit recovery periods for all employers when calculating their contributions.

3.7.3.2 The Administering Authority normally targets the recovery of any deficit over a period not exceeding 20 years. However, these are subject to the maximum lengths set out in the table below:

Type of Employer	Maximum Length of Deficit Recovery Period
Statutory bodies with tax raising powers	A period to be agreed with each employer not exceeding 20 years
Community Admission Bodies	a period equivalent to the expected future working lifetime.
Transferee Admission Bodies	the period from the start of the revised contributions to the end of the employer's contract subject to not exceeding expected future working lifetime.
All other types of employer	a period equivalent to the expected future working lifetime allowing for expected leavers.

3.7.3.3 This *maximum* period is used in calculating each employer's *minimum* contributions. Employers may opt to pay higher regular contributions than these minimum rates.

3.7.3.4 The deficit recovery period starts at the commencement of the revised contribution rate, which for the 2010 valuation is April 2011; contribution rates for 2010/11 having already been set at the level advised by the 2007 valuation (and which may include contributions towards the deficit where employers are contributing at more than the future service rate). The Administering Authority would normally expect the same period to be used at successive triennial valuations, but would reserve the right to propose alternative spreading periods, for example to improve the stability of contributions.

3.7.4 Surplus Spreading Periods

3.7.4.1 Any employers deemed to be in surplus may be permitted to reduce their contributions below the cost of accruing benefits, by spreading the surplus element over the maximum periods shown above for deficits in calculating their **minimum** contributions.

3.7.4.2 However, to help meet the stability requirement, employers may prefer not to take such reductions.

3.7.5 Phasing in of Contribution Rises

3.7.5.1 The Administering Authority may allow some employers to phase in contribution rises over the period to which their contribution rates apply i.e. 1 April 2011 to 31 March 2014

3.7.6 Phasing in of Contribution Reductions

3.7.6.1 Any contribution reductions will be phased in over three years for any employer for which the Administering Authority agrees.

3.7.7 The effect of Opting for Longer Spreading or Phasing-in

3.7.7.1 Employers which are permitted and elect to use a longer deficit spreading period or to phase-in contribution changes will be assumed to incur a greater loss of investment returns on the deficit by opting to defer repayment. Thus, deferring paying contributions will lead to higher contributions in the long-term.

3.7.7.2 However any adjustment is expressed for different employers the overriding principle is that the discounted value of the contribution adjustment for each employer will be equivalent to the employer's deficit.

3.7.8 Pooled Contributions

3.7.8.1 Smaller Employers –

The Administering Authority allows smaller employers of similar types to pool their contributions as a way of sharing experience and smoothing out the effects of costly but relatively rare events such as ill-health retirements or deaths in service. The maximum number of active members to participate in a pool is set at 50 employees.

Community Admission Bodies that are deemed by the Administering Authority to have closed to new entrants are not permitted to participate in a pool. Transferee Admission Bodies are also ineligible for pooling.

Employers who are eligible for pooling have been asked to give their written consent to participate in the pool.

As at the 2010 valuation a separate pool was operated for the smaller Admission Bodies.

3.7.8.2 Other Contribution Pools –

Schools are also pooled with their funding Council. Some Admission Bodies with guarantors are pooled with their Council.

3.8 Admission Bodies Ceasing

3.8.1 Admission Agreements for Transferee Admission Bodies are assumed to expire at the end of the contract.

3.8.2 Admission Agreements for other employers are generally assumed to be open-ended and to continue until the last pensioner dies. Contributions, expressed as capital payments, can continue to be levied after all the employees have retired. These Admission Agreements can however be terminated at any point subject to the terms of the agreement.

3.8.3 The Fund, however, considers any of the following as triggers for the termination of an admission agreement:

- Last active member ceasing participation in the LGPS;
- The insolvency, winding up or liquidation of the Admission Body;
- Any breach by the Admission Body of any of its obligations under the Agreement that they have failed to remedy to the satisfaction of the Fund;
- A failure by the Admission Body to pay any sums due to the Fund within the period required by the Fund; or
- The failure by the Admission Body to renew or adjust the level of the bond or indemnity or to confirm appropriate alternative guarantor as required by the Fund.

3.8.4 In addition either party can voluntarily terminate the Admission Agreement by giving the appropriate period of notice as set out in the Admission Agreement to the other party (or parties in the case of a TAB).

3.8.5 If an Admission Body's admission agreement is terminated, the Administering Authority instructs the Fund actuary to carry out a special valuation as required under Regulation 78 of the 1997 regulations (38 of the 2008 regulations) to determine whether there is any deficit.

3.8.6 The assumptions adopted to value the departing employers' liabilities for this valuation will depend upon the circumstances. For example:

(1) For Transferee Admission Bodies, the assumptions would be those used for an ongoing valuation to be consistent with the assumptions used to calculate the initial transfer of assets to accompany the active member liabilities transferred.

(2) For admission bodies that are not Transferee Admission Bodies whose participation is voluntarily ended either by themselves or the Fund, or which triggers a cessation event, the Administering Authority must look to protect the interests of other ongoing employers and will require the actuary to adopt valuation assumptions which, to the extent reasonably practicable, protect the other employers from the likelihood of any material loss emerging in future. Where there is a guarantor, the cessation valuation will normally be calculated using an ongoing valuation basis appropriate to the investment strategy. Where a

guarantor does not exist then, in order to protect other employers in the Fund, the cessation liabilities and final deficit will normally be calculated using a “gilts cessation basis” with no allowance for potential future investment outperformance and with an allowance for further future improvements in life expectancy. This approach results in a higher value being placed on the liabilities than would be the case under a valuation on the ongoing funding basis and could give rise to significant payments being required.

(3) For admission bodies with guarantors, it is possible that any deficit could be transferred to the guarantors in which case it may be possible to simply transfer the assets and liabilities relating to the former admission bodies to the respective guarantors, without needing to crystallise any deficit.

Under (1) and (2) any shortfall would be levied on the departing Admission Body as a capital payment.

In the event that the Fund is not able to recover the required payment in full directly from the admission body or from any bond or indemnity or guarantor, then:

- a) In the case of Transferee Admission Bodies the awarding authority will be liable. At its absolute discretion, the Administering Authority may agree to recover any outstanding amounts via an increase in the awarding authority’s contribution rate over an agreed period.
- b) In the case of admission bodies that are not Transferee Admission Bodies and have no guarantor, the unpaid amounts fall to be shared amongst all of the employers in the Fund. This will normally be reflected in contribution rates set at the formal valuation following the cessation date.

As an alternative to (b) above where the ceasing admission body is continuing in business, the Fund, at its absolute discretion, reserves the right to enter into an agreement with the ceasing admission body to accept an appropriate alternative security to be held against any funding deficit and to carry out the cessation valuation on an ongoing valuation basis. This approach would be monitored as part of each triennial valuation and the Fund reserves the right to revert to a “gilts cessation basis” and seek immediate payment of any funding shortfall identified.

3.9 Early Retirement Costs

3.9.1 Non Ill-Health Retirements

The actuary’s funding basis makes no allowance for premature retirement except on grounds of ill-health. Employers are required to pay additional contributions wherever an employee retires before attaining the age at which the valuation assumes that benefits are payable. The current cost of these are specified in the latest early retirement manual from Hymans Robertson.

Since the introduction of the new LGPS many members now have two tranches of pension - namely that which was accrued before and after 1 April 2008. In theory, these can be paid without reduction from two different retirement ages. In practice, the member can only retire once and so both pensions are paid from a single age. It is

assumed that the member will retire at the age when all of the members pension can be taken without reduction.

The additional costs of premature retirement are calculated by reference to these ages.

3.9.2 III Health Monitoring

The Fund will monitor each employer's, or pool of employers, ill health experience on an ongoing basis. If the cumulative cost of ill health retirements in any financial year exceeds the allowance at the previous valuation, the employer will be charged additional contributions on the same basis that applies for non-ill health cases.

4. Links to Investment Strategy

Funding and investment strategy are inextricably linked. Investment strategy is set by the Administering Authority, after consultation with the employers and after taking investment advice.

4.1 Investment Strategy

4.1.1 The investment strategy currently being pursued is described in the Fund's Statement of Investment Principles.

4.1.2 The investment strategy is set for the long-term, but is reviewed from time to time, normally every three years, to ensure that it remains appropriate to the Fund's liability profile. The Administering Authority has adopted a benchmark, which sets the proportion of assets to be invested in key asset classes such as equities, bonds and property.

4.1.3 The investment strategy of lowest risk – but not necessarily the most cost-effective in the long-term – would be 100% investment in index-linked government bonds.

4.1.4 The Fund's benchmark includes a significant holding in equities in the pursuit of long-term higher returns, than from index-linked bonds. The Administering Authority's strategy recognises the secure nature of most employers' covenants.

4.1.5 The same investment strategy is currently followed for all employers. The Administering Authority does not have the facility to operate different investment strategies for different employers.

4.2 Consistency with Funding Basis

4.2.1 The Fund's investment adviser's current *best estimate* of the long-term real return from equities is around 3% a year in excess of the return available from investing in index-linked government bonds.

4.2.2 In order to reduce the volatility of employers' contributions, the funding policy currently anticipates returns of 2% a year, that is 1% a year less than the *best estimate* return.

4.2.3 The anticipated future returns from equities used to place a value on employers' liabilities only relate to the part of the Fund's assets invested in equities (or equity type investments), currently around 70% of all the Fund's assets.

4.2.4 Non equity assets invested in bonds and cash are assumed to deliver long-term returns of 0.25% pa more than the prevailing redemption yield on Government bonds.

4.2.5 In this way, the employer contributions anticipate returns from Fund assets which in the Fund actuary's opinion there is a better than 50:50 chance of delivering over the long-term (measured over periods in excess of 20 years).

4.2.6 However, in the short-term – such as the three yearly assessments at formal valuations – there is the scope for considerable volatility and there is a material chance that in the short-term and even medium term, asset returns will fall short of this target. The stability measures described in Section 5 will damp down, but not remove, the effect on employers' contributions.

4.2.7 The Fund does not hold a contingency reserve to protect it against the volatility of equity investments.

4.3 Balance between Risk and Reward

4.3.1 Prior to implementing its current investment strategy, the Administering Authority considered the balance between risk and reward by altering the level of investment in potentially higher yielding, but more volatile, asset classes like equities. This process was informed by the use of Asset-Liability techniques to model the range of potential future solvency levels and contribution rates.

4.4 Intervaluation Monitoring of Funding Position

4.4.1 The Administering Authority monitors investment performance relative to the growth in the liabilities by means of annual interim valuations.

5. Key Risks and Controls

5.1 Types of Risks

5.1.1 The Administering Authority has an active risk management programme in place. The measures that the Administering Authority has in place to control key risks are summarised below under the following headings:-

- financial
- demographic;
- regulatory; and
- governance

5.2 Financial Risks

Risk		Summary of Control Mechanisms
5.2.1	Fund assets fail to deliver returns in line with the anticipated returns underpinning valuation of liabilities over the long-term	Analyse progress at three yearly valuations for all employers. <i>Inter-valuation roll-forward of liabilities between formal valuations at whole fund level, provided on a annual basis.</i>
5.2.2	Inappropriate long-term investment strategy	Set Fund-specific benchmark, informed by Asset-Liability modelling of liabilities. Consider measuring performance and setting managers' targets relative to bond based target, absolute returns or a Liability Benchmark Portfolio and not relative to indices.
5.2.3	Fall in risk-free returns on Government bonds, leading to rise in value placed on liabilities	Inter-valuation as above. Some investment in bonds might help to mitigate this risk.
5.2.4	Active investment manager under-performance relative to benchmark	Short-term (quarterly) investment monitoring analyses market performance and active managers relative to their index benchmark.
5.2.5	Pay and price inflation significantly more than anticipated	Seek feedback from employers on scope to absorb short-term contribution rises.
5.2.6	Effect of possible increase in employer's contribution rate on service delivery and admission/scheduled bodies	Seek feedback from employers on scope to absorb short-term contribution rises. Mitigate impact through deficit spreading and phasing in of contribution rises.

5.3 Demographic Risks

Risk		Summary of Control Mechanisms
5.3.1	Ill-health retirements significantly more than anticipated.	<i>Monitoring of each employer's ill-health experience on an ongoing basis. The employer may be charged additional contributions if this exceeds the ill-health assumptions built into the triennial valuation.</i>
5.3.2	Pensioners living longer	<i>Set mortality assumptions with some allowance for future increase in life expectancy. Fund actuary monitors combined experience of around 50 funds to look for early warnings of lower pension amounts ceasing than assumed in funding. Administering Authority encourage any employers concerned at costs to promote later retirement culture. Each 1 year rise in the average age at retirement would save roughly 5% of pension costs.</i>
5.3.3	Deteriorating patterns of early retirements	<i>Employers are charged the extra capital cost of non ill-health retirements following each individual decision. Employer ill-health retirement experience is monitored.</i>

5.4 Regulatory

Risk		Summary of Control Mechanisms
5.4.1	Changes to regulations e.g. more favourable benefits package, potential new entrants to scheme, e.g. part-time employees	<i>The Administering Authority is alert to the potential creation of additional liabilities and administration difficulties for employers and itself.</i>
5.4.2	Changes to national pension requirements and/or Inland Revenue rules e.g. effect of abolition of earnings cap for post 1989 entrants from April 2005	<i>It considers all consultation papers issued by the relevant government department and comments where appropriate. The Administering Authority will consult employers where it considers that it is appropriate.</i>

5.5 Governance

Risk		Summary of Control Mechanisms
5.5.1	Administering Authority unaware of structural changes in an employer's membership (e.g. large fall in employee members, large number of retirements)	<i>The Administering Authority monitors membership movements on a quarterly basis, via a report from the administrator at quarterly meetings.</i>
5.5.2	Administering Authority not advised of an employer closing to new entrants	<i>The Actuary may be instructed to consider revising the Rates and Adjustments Certificate to increase an employer's contributions (under Regulation 78 of the 1997 Regulations; 38 of the 2008 Regulations)) between triennial valuations. Deficit contributions are expressed as monetary amounts (see Annex A).</i>
5.5.3	Administering Authority failing to commission the Fund Actuary to carry out a termination valuation for a departing Admission Body and losing the opportunity to call in a debt	<i>In addition to the Administering Authority monitoring membership movements on a quarterly basis, it requires employers with Best Value contractors to inform it of forthcoming changes. It also operates a diary system to alert it to the forthcoming termination of Best Value Admission Agreements.</i>
5.5.4	An employer ceasing to exist with insufficient funding or adequacy of a bond	<i>The Administering Authority believes that it would normally be too late to address the position if it was left to the time of departure. The risk is mitigated by:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>• Seeking a funding guarantee from another scheme employer, or external body, wherever possible.</i> <i>• Alerting the prospective employer to its obligations and encouraging it to take independent actuarial advice.</i> <i>• Vetting prospective employers before admission.</i> <i>• When permitted under the regulations requiring a bond to protect the scheme from the extra cost of early retirements on redundancy if the employer failed.</i>

ANNEX A – EMPLOYERS’ CONTRIBUTIONS, SPREADING AND PHASING PERIODS

Following the 2010 valuations, the minimum employer contributions shown in the Rates and Adjustment certificate attached to the 2010 valuation report are based on the deficit recovery periods and phasing periods shown in the table below. The table also shows the individual adjustments under Regulation 77(6) of the 1997 Regulations (36(4) of the 2008 regulations) to each employer’s contributions from the ‘Common Contribution Rate’.

Employer code	Employer name	Contributions currently being paid in 2010/11	Minimum Contributions for the Year Ending		
			31 March 2012	31 March 2013	31 March 2014
1	London Borough of Tower Hamlets 1	15.8%	15.8% plus £14m	15.8% plus £15.25m	15.8% plus £16.5m
3	Tower Hamlets Community Housing Limited	30.3%	33.4%	33.4%	33.4%
4	Redbridge Community Housing Limited	17.7%	17.7%	17.7%	17.7%
6	East End Homes Limited	21.8%	24.5%	27.2%	29.9%
7	Greenwich Leisure Limited	15.9%	15.9% plus £5k	15.9% plus £6k	15.9% plus £6k
8	Swan Housing Association Limited	24.0%	22.5% plus £8k	22.5% plus £10k	22.5% plus £10k
10	Gateway Housing Association (Bethnal Green & Victoria Park)	20.5%	30.8%	30.8%	30.8%
11	One Housing Group (Toynbee Island Homes)	20.6%	27.5%	27.5%	27.5%
12	Circle Anglia Limited	18.1%	44.1%	44.1%	44.1%
13	Tower Hamlet Homes	16.4%	16.4%	16.4%	16.4%
15	Look Ahead Housing & Care Limited	17.9%	19.9%	19.9%	19.9%
16	Ecovet FM Limited	15.8%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%

*ANNEX B – RESPONSIBILITIES OF KEY PARTIES****The Administering Authority should:***

- collect employer and employee contributions;
- invest surplus monies in accordance with the regulations;
- ensure that cash is available to meet liabilities as and when they fall due;
- manage the valuation process in consultation with the fund's actuary;
- prepare and maintain a FSS and a SIP, both after proper consultation with interested parties; and
- monitor all aspects of the fund's performance and funding and amend FSS/SIP
- advise the Actuary of any new or ceasing employers

The Individual employer should:

- deduct contributions from employees' pay correctly;
- pay all contributions, including their own as determined by the actuary, promptly by the due date;
- exercise discretions within the regulatory framework;
- make additional contributions in accordance with agreed arrangements in respect of, for example, augmentation of scheme benefits, early retirement strain; and
- notify the Administering Authorities promptly of all changes to membership, or as may be proposed, which affect future funding.

The Fund actuary should:

- prepare valuations including the setting of employers' contribution rates after agreeing assumptions with the Administering Authority and having regard to the FSS;
- prepare advice and calculations in connection with bulk transfers and individual benefit-related matters.

Appendix 4 - Communications Strategy Statement

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

RESPONSIBILITIES AND RESOURCES

COMMUNICATION WITH KEY AUDIENCE GROUPS

- Our audience

- How we communicate

- Policy on Communication with Active, Deferred and Pensioner Members

- Policy on promotion of the scheme to Prospective Members and their Employing Authorities

- Policy on communication with Employing Authorities

- Policy on communication with senior managers

- Policy on communication with union representatives

- Policy on communication with elected members/the Pensions Committee

- Policy on communication with pension section staff

- Policy on communication with tax payers

- Policy on communication with other stakeholders/interested parties

PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

- Timeliness

- Quality

- Results

REVIEW PROCESS

Introduction

This is the Communications Strategy Statement of London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund.

The Fund liaises with over 12 employers and approximately 15,000 scheme members in relation to the Local Government Pension Scheme. The delivery of the benefits involves communication with a number of other interested parties. This statement provides an overview of how we communicate and how we intend to measure whether our communications are successful.

Any enquiries in relation to this Communication Strategy Statement should be sent to:

London Borough of Tower Hamlets
Town Hall
Human Resources
Payroll & Pensions Services
Anchorage House
2 Clove Crescent
London E14

Telephone: 020 7364 4251

Facsimile: 020 7364 4593

Email: pensions@towerhamlets.gov.uk

Regulatory Framework

This Policy Statement is required by the provisions of Regulation 106B of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) Regulations 1997. The provision requires us to:

“...prepare, maintain and publish a written statement setting out their policy concerning communications with:

- (a) members.
- (b) representatives of members.
- (c) prospective members.
- (d) employing authorities.”

In addition it specifies that the Statement must include information relating to:

- “(a) the provision of information and publicity about the Scheme to members, representatives of members and employing authorities;
- (b) the format, frequency and method of distributing such information or publicity; and
- (c) the promotion of the Scheme to prospective members and their employing authorities.”

As a provider of an occupational pension scheme, we are already obliged to satisfy the requirements of the Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of information) Regulations and other legislation, for example the Pensions Act 2004. Previously the disclosure requirements have been prescriptive, concentrating on timescales rather than quality. From 6 April 2006 more generalised disclosure requirements are to be introduced, supported by a Code of Practice. The type of information that pension schemes are required to disclose will remain very much the same as before, although the prescriptive timescales are being replaced with a more generic requirement to provide information within a “reasonable period”.

The draft Code of Practice³ issued by the Pensions Regulator in September 2005 sets out suggested timescales in which the information should be provided. While the Code itself is not a statement of the law, and no penalties can be levied for failure to comply with it, the Courts or a tribunal must take account of it when determining if any legal requirements have not been met. A summary of our expected timescales for meeting the various disclosure of information requirements are set out in the Performance Management section of this document, alongside those proposed by the Pension Regulator in the draft Code of Practice.

Responsibilities and Resources

Within the Pension Section, the responsibility for communication material is performed by our Pensions Manager with the assistance of two Principal Pensions Officers.

Although, the team write all communications within the section, all design work is carried out by the Council's Creative & Technical team. The Pensions team are also responsible for arranging all forums, workshops and meetings covered within this Statement.

All printing is carried out by an external supplier, which is usually decided upon by the Council's Creative & Technical team.

Communication with key audience groups

Our audience

We communicate with a number of stakeholders. For the purposes of this Communication Policy Statement, we are considering our communications with the following audience groups:

- active members;
- deferred members;
- pensioner members;
- prospective members;
- employing authorities (scheme employers and admitted bodies);
- senior managers;
- union representatives;
- elected members/the Pension Panel;
- Pensions Section staff;

In addition there are a number of other stakeholders with whom we communicate on a regular basis, such as Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs, the Department for Communities and Local Government, solicitors, the Pensions Advisory Service, and other pension providers. We also consider as part of this policy how we communicate with these interested parties.

How we communicate

General communication

We will continue to use paper based communication as our main means of communicating, for example, by sending letters to our scheme members. However, we will compliment this by

³ Code of Practice – Reasonable periods for the purposes of the Occupational Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 2006 issued September 2005

use of electronic means such as our intranet. We will accept communications electronically, for example by e-mail and, where we do so, we will respond electronically where possible.

Our pension section staffs are responsible for specific tasks. Any phone calls or visitors are then passed to the relevant person within the section. Direct line phone numbers are advertised to allow easier access to the correct person.

Branding

As the Pension Fund is administered by London Borough of Tower Hamlets, all literature and communications will conform to the branding of the Council.

Accessibility

We recognise that individuals may have specific needs in relation to the format of our information or the language in which it is provided. Demand for alternative formats/languages is not high enough to allow us to prepare alternative format/language material automatically. However, on all communication from the Pension Fund office we will include a statement offering the communication in large print, Braille, on cassette or in another language on request.

Policy on Communication with Active, Deferred and Pensioner Members

Our objectives with regard to communication with members are:

- for the LGPS to be used as a tool in the attraction and retention of employees.
- for better education on the benefits of the LGPS.
- to provide more opportunities for face to face communication.
- as a result of improved communication, for queries and complaints to be reduced.
- for our employers to be employers of choice.
- to increase take up of the LGPS employees.
- to reassure stakeholders.

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications, which are over and above individual communications with members (for example, the notifications of scheme benefits or responses to individual queries). The communications are explained in more detail beneath the table:

Scheme booklet	Paper based and on intranet	At joining and major scheme changes	Post to home address/via employers	Active
Newsletters	Paper based and on intranet	Annually and after any scheme changes	Via employers for Actives. Post to home address for deferred & pensioners	Separately for active, deferred and pensioners
Pension Fund Report and Accounts	Paper based and on intranet	Annually	On request	All
Pension Fund Accounts – Summary	Paper based	Annually	Via employers for actives. Post to home address for deferred and pensioners	All
Estimated Benefit Statements	Paper based/via intranet	Annually	Post to home address/via employers for active members. To home address for deferred members.	Active and Deferred.
Factsheets	Paper based and on intranet	On request	On request	Active, deferred & pensioners
Intranet	Electronic	Continually available	Advertised on all communications	All
Road shows/ Workshops	Face to face	Annually	Advertised in newsletters, via posters and pensioners payslips	All
Face to face education sessions	Face to face	On request	On request	All
Joiner packs	Paper based	On joining	Post to home addresses	Active members
Pay advice slip/P60	Paper based	Conditional	Post to home address	Pensioners

Explanation of communications

Scheme booklet - A booklet providing a relatively detailed overview of the LGPS, including who can join, how much it costs, the retirement and death benefits and how to increase the value of benefits.

Newsletters - An annual/biannual newsletter which provides updates in relation to changes to the LGPS as well as other related news, such as national changes to pensions, forthcoming road shows, a summary of the accounts for the year, contact details, etc.

Pension Fund Report and Accounts – Details of the value of the Pension Fund during the financial year, income and expenditure as well as other related details, for example, the current employing authorities and scheme membership numbers. This is a somewhat detailed and lengthy document and, therefore, it will not be routinely distributed except on request. A summary document, as detailed below, will be distributed.

Pension Fund Report and Accounts Summary – provides a handy summary of the position of the Pension Fund during the financial year, income and expenditure as well as other related details.

Estimated Benefit Statements – For active members these include the current value of benefits as well as the projected benefits as at their earliest retirement date and at age 65. The associated death benefits are also shown as well as details of any individuals the member has nominated to receive the lump sum death grant. State benefits are also included. In relation to deferred members, the benefit statement includes the current value of the deferred benefits and the earliest payment date of the benefits as well as the associated death benefits.

Factsheets – These are leaflets that provide some detail in relation to specific topics, such as topping up pension rights, transfer values in and out of the scheme, death benefits and, for pensioners, annual pension's increases.

Intranet – The intranet will provide scheme specific information, forms that can be printed or downloaded, access to documents (such as newsletters and report and accounts), frequently asked questions and answers, links to related sites and contact information.

Road shows/Workshops – Every year a number of staff will visit the schools/offices around the Borough, providing the opportunity to have a face to face conversation about your pension rights

Face to face education sessions – These are education sessions that are available on request for small groups of members. For example, where an employer is going through a restructuring, it may be beneficial for the employees to understand the impact any pay reduction may have on their pension rights.

Joiner packs – These complement the joiner booklet and enclose information on AVCs and the paperwork needed to join the scheme.

Pay advice slip/P60 – The Pay advice slips are sent when the address, pension or tax code changes. The P60 information is communicated using this medium on an annual basis.

Policy on promotion of the scheme to Prospective Members and their Employing Authorities

Our objectives with regard to communication with prospective members are:

- to improve take up of the LGPS.
- for the LGPS to be used as a tool in the attraction of employees.
- for our employers to be employers of choice.
- for public relations purposes.

As we, in the Pension Team Section, do not have direct access to prospective members, we will work in partnership with the employing authorities in the Fund to meet these objectives. We will do this by providing the following communications:

Overview of the LGPS leaflet	Paper based	On commencing employment	Via employers	New employees
Educational sessions	As part of induction workshops	On commencing employment	Face to face	New employees
Promotional newsletters/flyers	Paper based	Annually	Via employers	Existing employees
Posters	Paper based	Ongoing	Via employers	New and existing employees

Explanation of communications

Overview of the LGPS leaflet - A short leaflet that summarises the costs of joining the LGPS and the benefits of doing so.

Educational sessions – A talk providing an overview of the benefits of joining the LGPS.

Promotional newsletters/flyers – These will be designed to help those who are not in the LGPS to understand the benefits of participating in the Scheme and provide guidance on how to join the Scheme.

Posters – These will be designed to help those who are not in the LGPS understand the benefits of participating in the scheme and provide guidance on how to join the Scheme.

Policy on communication with Employing Authorities

Our objectives with regard to communication with employers are:

- to improve relationships.
- to assist them in understanding costs/funding issues.
- to work together to maintain accurate data.
- to ensure smooth transfers of staff.
- to ensure they understand the benefits of being an LGPS employer.

- to assist them in making the most of the discretionary areas within the LGPS.

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Employers' Guide	Paper based and intranet	At joining and updated as necessary	Post or via email	Main contact for all employers
Newsletters	Electronic (e-mail) and intranet	Annually or more frequent if necessary	E-mail	All contacts for all employers
Employers' focus groups	Face to face	At least quarterly/half yearly	Invitations by e-mail	Either main contacts or specific groups (e.g. HR or payroll) depending on topics
Pension Fund Report and Accounts	Paper based and employer website	Annually	Post	Main contact for all employers
Meeting with adviser	Face to face	On request	Invite sent by post or email	Senior management involved in funding and HR issues.

Explanation of communications

Employers' Guide - A detailed guide that provides guidance on the employer responsibilities including the forms and other necessary communications with the Pensions Section and Scheme members.

Newsletters – A technical briefing newsletter that will include recent changes to the scheme, the way the Pensions Section is run and other relevant information so as to keep employers fully up to date.

Employers' focus groups – Generally workgroup style sessions set up to debate current issues within the LGPS.

Pensions Fund Report and Accounts – Details of the value of the Pension Fund during the financial year, income and expenditure as well as other related details, for example, the current employing authorities and scheme membership numbers.

Adviser meeting – Gives employers the opportunity to discuss their involvement in the Scheme with advisers.

Policy on communication with senior managers

Our objectives with regard to communication with senior managers are:

- to ensure they are fully aware of developments within the LGPS
- to ensure that they understand costs/funding issues
- to promote the benefits of the Scheme as a recruitment/retention tool.

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Briefing papers	Paper based and electronic	As and when required	Email or hard copy	All
Committee papers	Paper based and electronic	In advance of Committee	Email or hard copy	All

Explanation of communications

Briefing papers – a briefing that highlights key issues or developments relating to the LGPS and the Fund, which can be used by senior managers when attending meetings

Committee paper – a formal document setting out relevant issues in respect of the LGPS, in many cases seeking specific decisions or directions from elected members

Policy on communication with union representatives

Our objectives with regard to communication with union representatives are:

- to foster close working relationships in communicating the benefits of the Scheme to their members
- to ensure they are aware of the Pension Fund's policy in relation to any decisions that need to be taken concerning the Scheme
- to engage in discussions over the future of the Scheme
- to provide opportunities to Education Union representatives on the provisions of the Scheme

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Briefing papers	Paper based and electronic	As and when required	Email or hard copy	All
Face to face education sessions	Face to face	On request	On request	All
Pension Committee	Meeting	Quarterly	Via invitation when appropriate	All

Explanation of communications

Briefing papers – a briefing that highlights key issues and developments relating to the LGPS and the Fund.

Face to face education sessions – these are education sessions that are available on request for union representatives and activists, for example to improve their understanding of the basic principles of the Scheme, or to explain possible changes to policies.

Pensions Committee – a formal meeting of elected members, attended by senior managers, at which local decisions in relation to the Scheme (policies, etc) are taken.

Policy on communication with elected members/Pensions Committee

Our objectives with regard to communication with elected members/Pensions Committee are:

- to ensure they are aware of their responsibilities in relation to the Scheme
- to seek their approval to the development or amendment of discretionary policies, where required
- to seek their approval to formal responses to government consultation in relation to the Scheme.

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of Communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Training sessions	Face to face	When there is a new Pensions Committee and as and when required	Face to face or via the Employers Organisation for local government	All members of the Pensions Committee as well as other elected members
Briefing papers	Paper based and electronic	As and when required	Email or hard copy	All members of the Pensions Committee
Pension Committee	Meeting	Quarterly	Members elected onto Pension Committee	All members of the Pensions Committee

Explanation of communications

Training sessions – providing a broad overview of the main provisions of the LGPS, and elected members responsibilities within it.

Briefing papers – a briefing that highlights key issues and developments to the LGPS and the Fund.

Pension Committee - a formal meeting of elected members, attended by senior managers, at which local decisions in relation to the Scheme (policies, etc) are taken.

Policy on communication with pension section staff

Our objectives with regard to communication with Pension Section's staff are:

- ensure they are aware of changes and proposed changes to the scheme
- to provide on the job training to new staff
- to develop improvements to services, and changes to processes as required
- to agree and monitor service standards

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of Communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Face to face training sessions	Face to face	As required	By arrangement	All
Staff meetings	Face to face	As required, but no less frequently than monthly	By arrangement	All
Attendance at seminars	Externally provided	As and when advertised	By email, paper based	All
Software User Group meetings	Face to face	Quarterly	By email, paper based.	Principal Administrators
Regional Officer Group meetings	Face to face	Quarterly	By email, paper based.	Pension Manager/ Principal Administrators

Explanation of communications

Face to face training sessions – which enable new staff to understand the basics of the Scheme, or provide more in depth training to existing staff, either as part of their career development or to explain changes to the provisions of the Scheme

Staff meetings – to discuss any matters concerning the local administration of the Scheme, including for example improvements to services or timescales

Attendance at seminars – to provide more tailored training on specific issues

Software User Group meeting – to discuss any issues concerning the computer software used to administer the scheme, including future upgrades and improvements

Regional Officer Group meetings - discussion group of principal officers from other administering authorities.

Policy on communication with tax payers

Our objectives with regard to communication with tax payers are:

- to provide access to key information in relation to the management of the scheme
- to outline the management of the scheme

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of Communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Pension Fund Report and Accounts	Paper based and on website	Annually	Post	All, on request
Pension Fund Committee Papers	Paper based and on website	As and when available	Post	All, on request

Explanation of communications

Pension Fund Report and Accounts – details of the value of the Pension Fund during the financial year, income and expenditure as well as other related details, for example, the current employing authorities and scheme membership numbers.

Pension Fund Committee Papers - a formal document setting out relevant issues in respect of the LGPS, in many cases seeking specific decisions or directions from elected members.

Policy on communication with other stakeholders/interested parties

Our objectives with regard to communication with other stakeholder/interested parties are:

- to meet our obligations under various legislative requirements
- to ensure the proper administration of the scheme
- to deal with the resolution of pension disputes
- to administer the Fund's Additional Voluntary Contributions (AVC) scheme

Our objectives will be met by providing the following communications:

Method of Communication	Media	Frequency of Issue	Method of Distribution	Audience Group
Pension Fund valuation reports <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rates and Adjustments (R&A) certificates • Revised R&A certificates • Cessation valuations 	Electronic	Every three years	Via email	Government Departments)/ Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs HMRC)/all Scheme employers
Details of new employers entered into the Fund	Hard copy	As new employers are entered into the Fund	Post	Government Departments /HMRC
Formal resolution of pension disputes	Hard copy or electronic	As and when a dispute requires resolution	Via email or post	Scheme member or their representatives, the Pensions Advisory Service/the Pensions Ombudsman
Completion of questionnaires	Electronic or hard copy	As and when required	Via email or post	Government Departments /HMRC/the Pensions Regulator

Explanation of communications

Pension Fund Valuation Reports – a report issued every three years setting out the estimated assets and liabilities of the Fund as a whole, as well as setting out individual employer contribution rates for a three year period commencing one year from the valuation date

Details of new employers – a legal requirement to notify both organisations of the name and type of employer entered into the Fund (i.e. following the admission of third party service providers into the scheme)

Resolution of pension disputes – a formal notification of pension dispute resolution, together with any additional correspondence relating to the dispute

Completion of questionnaires – various questionnaires that my received, requesting specific information in relation to the structure of the LGPS or the make up of the Fund

Performance Measurement

So as to measure the success of our communications with active, deferred and pensioner members, we will use the following methods:

Timeliness

We will measure against the following target delivery timescales:

Scheme booklet	New joiners to the LGPS	Within two months of joining	Within two weeks of joining the LGPS
Estimated Benefit Statements as at 31 March	Active members	On request	31 July each year
Telephone calls	All	Not applicable	95% of phone calls to be answered within 30 seconds
Issue of retirement benefits	Active and deferred members retiring	Within two months of retirement	95% of retirement benefits to be issued within 10 working days of retirement
Issue of deferred benefits	Leavers	Within two months of withdrawal	Within one month of notification
Transfers in	Joiners/active members	Within two months of request	Within one month of request
Issue of forms i.e. expression of wish	Active/deferred members	N/A	Within five working days
Changes to Scheme rules	Active/deferred and pensioner	Within two months of the change	Within one month of change coming

	members, as required	coming into effect	into effect
Annual Pension Fund Report and Accounts	All	Within two months of request	Within ten working days

Quality

Active and deferred members	Paper based survey with annual benefit statements	All services	
All member types	Annual paper based survey on completion of specific tasks	Service received during that task	One task to be chosen each quarter from: retirements new starts and transfers in transfers out deferred leavers
All member types	Focus group meeting on half yearly basis	All services and identify improvement areas/new services	Representative group of all member types. To include union representatives.
Employers	Focus Groups	Their issues	Regular feedback sessions.

Results

Details of the performance figures are reported to the Head of Pay, Pension, & e-HR on a quarterly basis. Feedback is received from the Service Head and from various focus /discussion groups.

Review Process

We will review our Communication Policy to ensure it meets audience needs and regulatory requirements at least annually. A current version of the Policy Statement will always be available on our intranet and paper copies will be available on request.

Appendix 5- Governance Compliance Statement

1. Background

1.1 The Local Government Pension Scheme (Administration) Regulations 2008 require administering authorities to produce a statement on the governance policy of the pension fund. This document sets out the Policy of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets, as an administering authority in relation to its governance responsibilities for the Tower Hamlets Local Government Pension Scheme.

2. Governance Structure

2.1 The Council delegates its responsibility for administering the Fund to the Pensions Committee. The terms of this delegation are as set out in the Council Constitution and provide that the Committee is responsible for consideration of all pension matters and discharging the obligations and duties of the Council under the Superannuation Act 1972 and various statutory matters relating to investment issues.

2.2 The governance structure is supported by:

- The Pensions Committee
- The Investment Panel
- Officers of the Council; and
- Professional Advisors

Pensions Committee

2.3 The terms of reference of the Pensions Committee encompass: -

- Determination of investment policy objectives
- Appointment of investment managers
- Monitoring investment performance and
- Making representations to Government on any proposed changes to the LGPS.

2.4 The Pensions Committee meets quarterly and it comprises seven Members of the Council, one trade union member and one admitted body member. Special meetings of the Committee are arranged as necessary.

2.5 The Committee is subject to the Council's Financial Regulations and is advised on investment issues by an Investment Panel, which is a sub-committee of the Pensions Committee and includes professional advisors.

3. Investment Panel

3.1 The Investment Panel comprises of all members of the Pensions Committee, an independent chair, an independent advisor, the Corporate Director, Resources (or deputy) and one observer from trade unions and one observer from admitted bodies. The terms of reference of the Investment Panel include the following:

- Review the Scheme's asset allocation
- Consider and monitor the quarterly performance reports
- Review annually each manager's performance
- Consider the need for any changes to the Scheme's investment manager arrangements
- Evaluate the credentials of new managers prior to their appointment

4. Officer Delegation

4.1 The Corporate Director, Resources has delegated authority for implementing Council policy, Pension Committee decisions in the areas of scheme administration, funding, investment, communications and risk management.

5. Professional Advisors

5.1 The Council employs external professional advisors in the form of independent chair of the Investment Panel, investment advisers, fund managers, global asset custodians and independent performance assessors

6. Overall Power

6.1 The Pensions Committee delegate specific functions on the appointment of managers on a case by case basis after consideration of reports by that Committee.

6.2 Committee retain responsibility for all policy decisions relating to the investment portfolio. Responsibility for the day to day operation of the management arrangements and administration of the portfolio is delegated to the Corporate Director, Resources.

PRINCIPLE	REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANCE	COMMENT
STRUCTURE	The management of the administration of benefits and strategic management of fund assets clearly rests with the main committee established by the appointing council	Compliant	The Council's Constitution states that the Pensions Committee is responsible for the management of the Pension Fund
	That representatives of participating LGPS employers, admitted bodies and scheme members (including pensioner and deferred members) are members of either the main or secondary committee established to underpin the work of the main committee.	Compliant	Trade union representatives and representatives of admitted bodies sit on the Pension Committee.
	That where a secondary committee or panel has been established, the structure ensures effective communication across both levels.	Compliant	A report of the Investment Panel is presented at the following Pensions Committee. All key recommendations of the Investment Panel are ratified by the Pensions Committee.
	That where a secondary committee or panel has been established, at least one seat on the main committee is allocated for a member from the secondary committee or panel.	Compliant	All members of the Investment Panel are also members of the Pensions Committee.
REPRESENTATION	<p>That all key stakeholders are afforded the opportunity to be represented within the main or secondary committee structure. These include :-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • employing authorities (including non-scheme employers, e.g. admitted bodies), • scheme members (including deferred and pensioner scheme members), • independent professional observers, • expert advisors (on an ad-hoc basis). 	Compliant	Trade unions and admitted bodies are represented on the Pensions Committee. An independent professional observer has also been appointed to chair the Investment Panel.

PRINCIPLE	REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANCE	COMMENT
	That where lay members sit on a main or secondary committee, they are treated equally in terms of access to papers and meetings, training and are given full opportunity to contribute to the decision making process, with or without voting rights.	Compliant	Papers for Committee and the Investment Panel are made available to all members of both bodies at the same time and are published well in advance of the meetings in line with the council's committee agenda publication framework.
SELECTION & ROLE OF LAY MEMBERS	That committee or panel members are made fully aware of the status, role and function they are required to perform on either a main or secondary committee.	Compliant	Members of the Pensions Committee/ Investment Panel have access to the terms of reference of each body and are aware of their roles and responsibilities as members of these bodies/ Panel.
VOTING	The policy of individual administering authorities on voting rights is clear and transparent, including the justification for not extending voting rights to each body or group represented on main LGPS committees.	Compliant	The Pensions Committee/ Investment Panel does not currently confer voting rights on non-Councillors in line with common practice across the local government sector.
TRAINING/FACILITY TIME/EXPENSES	That in relation to the way in which statutory and related decisions are taken by the administering authority, there is a clear policy on training, facility time and reimbursement of expenses in respect of members involved in the decision-making process.	Compliant	Regular training is arranged for members of the Pensions Committee. In addition members are encouraged to attend external training courses. The cost of any such courses attended will be met by the Fund.
	That where such a policy exists, it applies equally to all members of committees, sub-committees, advisory panels or any other form of secondary forum.	Compliant	The rule on training provision is applied equally across all members of the Pensions Committee.
MEETINGS	That an administering authority's main committee or	Compliant	Meetings of the Pensions Committee

PRINCIPLE	REQUIREMENT	COMPLIANCE	COMMENT
(FREQUENCY/ QUORUM)	committees meet at least quarterly.		are arranged to take place quarterly.
	That an administering authority's secondary committee or panel meet at least twice a year and is synchronised with the dates when the main committee sits.	Compliant	Meetings of the Investment Panel are arranged to take place quarterly.
	That administering authorities who do not include lay members in their formal governance arrangements, provide a forum outside of those arrangements by which the interests of key stakeholders can be represented.	Compliant	Union representatives on the Pensions Committee are lay members. Other stakeholders of the Fund are able to make representations at the Annual General Meeting of the Pension Fund.
ACCESS	That subject to any rules in the Council's Constitution, all members of main and secondary committees or panels have equal access to committee papers, documents and advice that falls to be considered at meetings of the main committee.	Compliant	Panel meeting papers are circulated at the same time to all members of the Pensions Committee/ Investment Panel.
SCOPE	That administering authorities have taken steps to bring wider scheme issues within the scope of their governance arrangements.	Compliant	Pensions Committee considers a range of issues at its meetings and therefore has taken steps to bring wider scheme issues within the scope of the governance arrangements.
PUBLICITY	That administering authorities have published details of their governance arrangements in such a way that stakeholders with an interest in the way in which the scheme is governed, can express an interest in wanting to be part of those arrangements.	Compliant	This Governance Compliance Statement is a public document that is attached as an appendix to the annual pension fund report.

Membership of Pensions Committee and Investment Panel 2011/12**Attendances at Pensions Committee 2011/12**

Attendees	Voting Rights	Meetings Scheduled			
		9-Jun Cancelled	21-Jul	17-Nov Cancelled	16-Feb Postponed
Members					
Cllr Craig Aston	√		Present		
Cllr Anwar Khan	√		Present	Present	
Cllr Zenith Rahman	√		Present	Present	
Cllr Abdal Ullah	√		Present	Present	
Cllr Ahmed Adam Omer	√		Present		
Cllr Rania Khan	√				
Cllr Aminur Khan	√			Present	
Officers					
Alan Finch	x		Present		
Oladapo Shonola	x		Present	Present	
Peter Hayday	x		Present		
Anant Dodia	x			Present	
Jill Bell	x			Present	
Kate Bingham	x			Present	
Lisa Stone	x			Present	
Antonella Burgio	x			Present	
Guest					
Raymond Haines	x			Present	
John Gray	x				
Frank West	x		Present	Present	

Training sessions were provided for members of the Pensions Committee, on 17th November 2011 and 16th February 2012. The training session provided on the 17th November gave an explanation of the impact of the Hutton review and the implications of the Government's spending review on cash flow and maturity. The session booked for the 16th February did not take place due to the meeting being cancelled. The attendance at training sessions is set out in the table below:

Attendance at Training Sessions 2011/12

Attendees	17-November	16-February
Cllr Anwar Khan	Present	Postponed
Cllr Zenith Rahman	Present	
Cllr Abdal Ullah	Present	
Cllr Aminur Khan	Present	

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5.2

COMMITTEE: Pensions Committee	DATE: 15 November 2012	CLASSIFICATION: Unrestricted	REPORT NO.	AGENDA NO.
REPORT OF: Corporate Director of Resources ORIGINATING OFFICER(S): Oladapo Shonola – Chief Financial Strategy Officer		TITLE: New (2014)Local Government Pensions Scheme Ward(s) affected: N/A		

Lead Member	Cllr Alibor Choudhury - Resources
Community Plan Theme	All
Strategic Priority	One Tower Hamlets

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report provides information to Members of the Committee on the proposed changes to the Local Government Pensions Scheme (LPGS). Legislation in the form of the Public Service Pensions Bill 2012-13 is currently going through Parliament and is expected to take effect from 1 April 2014.
- 1.2 The Bill sets out the detail of new arrangements for the governance of public sector pension schemes. It covers all areas of public service, including local government and the LGPS.
- 1.3 Members may recall that following the publication of the final report in March 2011 of the Hutton Commission, officers presented a report to Committee in November 2011 setting out the main recommendations coming out of the Commission's review of public sector pensions. The bulk of the proposals in the proposed scheme are drawn from recommendations set out in the final report of Lord Hutton's Commission.
- 1.4 The expectation is that the proposed scheme will have minimal impact on the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund unless active members start to leave the scheme. This could lead to the Fund being cashflow negative (i.e. annual payments out of the Fund exceed income coming in).

2. DECISIONS REQUIRED

- 2.1 Members are recommended to note the content of this report.

3. REASONS FOR DECISIONS

- 3.1 The Council is the administering body for the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund, which is a Local Government Pension Scheme. The proposed changes to the LGPS as outlined in the Public Service Pensions Bill will significantly alter the way that the Scheme is administered.

- 3.2 To the extent that the new scheme will impact the Pension Fund's current assets, liabilities and governance arrangements, it is expected that the new scheme will also have far reaching implications for current and future council employees as it significantly alters a key incentive (retirement benefit based on final salary) for staff and Members. Therefore, it is necessary that Members are kept up to date on progress with this legislation.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 4.1 This report is presented to Members to enable them understand the main features of the new LGPS scheme that is proposed to take effect from 1 April 2014. There is no requirement, statutory or otherwise to provide this update to Members, but it is deemed good practice to keep Members updated with such activities that may affect the Pension Fund. This report also complements the training provided to Members, so that they are equipped with knowledge that will aid good decision making in relation to their roles as trustees of the LBTH Pension Fund.

5. BACKGROUND

- 5.1 The Coalition Government commissioned Lord Hutton to undertake an independent review of public sector pension provision in June 2010. The review was to be a root and branch look at the basic structure of public service pensions and to make recommendations to the government on how pension arrangements can be placed on a sustainable and affordable path in the long term. A guiding principle of the review was that the recommendations should be balanced in way that is fair to public sector workers, but also less onerous on the public purse.
- 5.2 Lord Hutton published his final report and recommendations in March 2011. This Committee had been presented with a report setting out the main ideas and recommendations that came out of Lord Hutton's review.
- 5.3 The Government indicated in the immediate aftermath of the publication of Lord Hutton's report that they were supportive of most of the ideas put forward by the Commission. In November 2011, the Government published its proposals for the reform of public service pension. The document titled *Public Service Pensions: good pensions that last* set out how the Government propose to achieve the goals that had been identified by Lord Hutton has drivers for reform alongside the Government's objectives of reducing the cost of public service pensions to the taxpayer.
- 5.4 The Government set out the terms of what it deemed to be the ideal (reference) scheme' for its aims. This is essentially a target package of benefits which was used by the Government to enter into initial negotiations with trade unions. It is noteworthy that LGPS has been treated differently to other public sector pension schemes due to the fact that it is a funded scheme. The most notable exemption for the LGPS is that overall employee contributions will not have to rise immediately. Other public sector pension schemes were not treated in this way as they are mostly unfunded. Although, the benefit package that made it into the Bill is more generous to scheme members than the original reference package, it is within the cost benchmark that had been predetermined by Government.

5.5 In coming up with its final proposals on public sector pension reform, the Government engaged and carried along the main stakeholders in public sector pensions. These included the LGA representing LGPS employers and the main trade unions. A series of negotiations took place between the government and the major stakeholders to agree the proposed scheme that is set to commence on 1 April 2014.

6. THE NEW LGPS SCHEME (2014)

6.1 The work of Lord Hutton was driven by three factors which were deemed essential to achieving comprehensive and viable reform of public sector pensions. These were identified as:

- Longevity – Current provision as funded by taxpayers is no longer affordable given that people are living longer and therefore spending longer in retirement;
- Flexibility –Pensions provision should be able to adapt to the modern labour force lives and works; and
- Fairness – the final salary scheme favours the highest paid staff at perhaps the expense of workers at the lower end.

6.2 The Public Service Pensions Bill has been designed to address these issues in a way that is agreeable to all the major stakeholders.

6.3 The main features of the Bill are summarised below and a comparison of features of the old and proposed scheme is included at 6.4:

- A Career Average Re-valued Earnings (CARE) scheme or Defined Contributions scheme to be introduced;
- The accrual rate has been reduced to 1/49th;
- The abolition of Normal Pension Age (NPA) which is currently 65 years, so that retirement is now linked to the State Pension Age (SPA)
- Inflation on accrued benefits is to be linked to CPI to control costs;
- Average member contribution to the scheme remains at 6.5% (contribution rates have been altered for different bands, so that scheme members at the top earners will now pay more into the scheme. Table at 6.5 outlines a comparison of contribution rates between old and proposed scheme by salary band;
- Introduction of what is termed the 50:50 option. New or existing scheme members will be able to pay half contributions for half pension benefits;
- Accrued benefits prior to 1 April 2014 will be protected under the old scheme rules (this include the Rule 85 protection that was in place prior to the current scheme);
- Further protection for scheme members that is outsourced. Members are now able to remain in the scheme on the initial and any subsequent transfers as a right;

- Increase governance requirements around skills and knowledge for Pensions Committee members, acting on advice from a 'skilled person' and ensuring that the Fund complies with the requirements of the Pensions Regulator; and
- Vesting period changed to 2 years from 3 months.

6.4 A table is provided below that compares the current scheme with the new scheme.

	Current Scheme (2008)	Proposed (New) Scheme (2014)
Benefit Type	Final Salary Scheme	Career Average Revalued Earnings (CARE)
Accrual Rate	1/60 th	1/49 th
Pension Increases	Consumer's Price Inflation (CPI)	CPI
Retirement Age	(NPA) 65	SPA
Protections	Retirement age protections for members retiring before 2020	Retirement age protections for members retiring before 2020 and a no worse off guarantee if retiring before 2020.
Average Contribution Rate	6.5%	6.5%
Alternative Benefits	N/A	50:50 Option
Accrued rights	N/A	Protected
Vesting Period	3 months	2 years

6.5 The table below presents the proposed contribution rates for the new scheme.

New Scheme		Old Scheme (as at 1 April 2012)	
Actual Pensionable Pay	Gross Contributions	Actual Pension Pay	Gross Contributions
Up to 13,500	5.50%	Up to £13,500	5.50%
£13,501 - £21,000	5.80%	£13,501 - £15,800	5.80%
£21,001 - £34,000	6.50%	£15,801 - £20,400	5.90%
£34,001 - £43,000	6.80%	£20,401 - £34,000	6.50%
£43,001 - £60,000	8.50%	£34,001 - £45,500	6.80%
£60,001 - £85,000	9.90%	£45,501 - £85,300	7.20%
£85,001 - £100,000	10.50%	Over £85,300	7.50%
£100,000 - £150,000	11.40%		
£150,000+	12.50%		

- 6.6 It is worth noting that the highest contribution rate in the current scheme is 7.50% compared to 12.5% (gross) in the new scheme. Contribution for scheme members earning under £43k will reduce or remain the same, but contribution rates for those earning over 43k could rise by up to 70% for the highest earners in the scheme – a consequence of government guarantee that protects lower income earners from increases in contribution.

7. IMPLICATIONS OF MEMBERS

- 7.1 The general consensus is that the majority of members will benefit from these changes, especially those who are at the lower end of income scale. Some of the implications of the proposed scheme on members are that:

- CARE will tend to favour staff that have lower earnings growth, whilst staff that experience strong earnings growth over their careers will likely receive less benefit. Therefore higher income earners will pay more into the scheme and get less out;
- CARE will result in staff with short service periods receiving more in benefits under new scheme compared to the old;
- Although normal pension age will be aligned with state pension age, the option to retire has been maintained in the proposed scheme so that members with lower life expectancy can benefit earlier from their pensions; and
- It will take longer for members longer to earn deferred benefit on their contributions. This may discourage short term workers from joining the scheme.

8. EFFECT ON EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTION RATES

- 8.1 There are implications for the employer contribution rates once the Bill is passed into law. It is not entirely clear at the moment how all of these changes put together will impact employer contributions. However, the impact of some of the proposed changes to the schemewill be as follows:

- CARE will reduce employer contribution rates;
- Changing accrual rate from 1/60th to 1/49th will significantly increase employer contributions;
- In-year contribution rates are expected to slightly increase; and
- Impact of 50:50 option will reduce employer contributions.

- 8.2 Of course there are other factors that will impact on employer costs beyond what is listed above, which include age, service, pay profile for LBTH workforce. These impact of these will become clearer at the next valuation when the Fund's actuaries are able to undertake a comprehensive evaluation of the Fund's liabilities.

9. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

9.1. The comments of the Corporate Director Resources have been incorporated into the report.

10. CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)

10.1 This is a noting report and there are no specific legal consequences as a result of it

11. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

11.1 The Pension Fund Accounts demonstrate financial stewardship of the fund's assets. A financially viable and stable pension fund is a valuable recruitment and retention incentive for the Council.

12. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

12.1 There is no Sustainable Action for A Greener Environment implication arising from this report.

13. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

13.1 The LBTH Pension Fund has seen a decrease in income over the last two financial years due to reduction in number of scheme members. There is a risk that some of the changes proposed for the new scheme could lead to further reduction in fund members and consequently income. There are further risks around ensuring adequate governance arrangements are put in place in line with requirements of proposed scheme.

14. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

14.1 There are no any Crime and Disorder Reduction implications arising from this report.

15. EFFICIENCY STATEMENT

15.1 The monitoring arrangement for the Pension Fund and the work of the Pension Fund Investment Panel should ensure that the Fund optimises the use of its resources in achieving the best returns for members of the Fund.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 (AS AMENDED) SECTION 100D

LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background papers"

*Name and telephone number of holder
And address where open to inspection*

Agenda Item 5.3

COMMITTEE: Pensions Committee	DATE: 15 November 2012	CLASSIFICATION: Unrestricted	REPORT NO.	AGENDA NO.
REPORT OF: Corporate Director of Resources		TITLE: Report of Investment Panel for Quarter Ending 30 June 2012.		
ORIGINATING OFFICER(S): Oladapo Shonola – Chief Financial Strategy Officer		Ward(s) affected: N/A		

Lead Member	Cllr Alibor Choudhury - Resources
Community Plan Theme	All
Strategic Priority	One Tower Hamlets

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report informs Members of the activities of the Investment Panel and the performance of the Fund and its investment managers for the period ending 30 June 2012.
- 1.2 In the quarter to the end of June 2012 the Fund achieved a return of -2.6% which is 0.5% below the benchmark -2.1%. The twelve month Fund return of -2.5% significantly lags benchmark by -1.1% at -1.4%. Over the longer term, performance is more in line with benchmark.
- 1.3 Four managers matched or achieved returns above the benchmark and the other four were below. The variability of returns does however partially reflect the management structure of the fund where complementary investment styles reduce the volatility of overall portfolio returns. For example, in contrast to poor quarter returns for Baillie Gifford, GMO, the Fund's other global equity manager matched its benchmark in what was a challenging quarter for global equities.
- 1.4 The Fund is still in line with its long term strategic equity asset allocation and the distribution of the Fund's assets amongst the different asset classes is broadly in line with benchmark.

2. DECISIONS REQUIRED

- 2.1 Members are recommended to note the contents of this report.

3. REASONS FOR DECISIONS

- 3.1 There are no decisions to be made as a result of this report. The report is written to inform committee members of the performance of pension fund managers and the overall performance of the Tower Hamlets Pension Fund.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS


- 4.1 The Pension Fund Regulations require that the Council establishes arrangements for monitoring the investments of the Pension Fund.

5. **BACKGROUND**

- 5.1 The Pension Fund Regulations require that the Council establish arrangements for monitoring the investments of the Fund and the activities of the investment managers and ensure that proper advice is obtained on investment issues.
- 5.2 This Committee has established the Investment Panel, which meets quarterly for this purpose. The Panel's membership comprises all Members of the Pensions Committee, an Investment Professional as Chair, an Independent Investment Adviser, and the Corporate Director of Resources represented by the Service Head Financial Services, Risk and Accountability, one trade union representatives and one representative of the admitted bodies. The Investment Panel is an advisory body which makes recommendations to the Pensions Committee which is the decision making body.
- 5.3. Officers and fund advisers meet regularly with investment managers to discuss their strategy and performance and may recommend that investment managers are invited to explain further to the Investment Panel.
- 5.4 This report informs Members of the activities of the Investment Panel and performance of the Fund and its investment managers for the period ending 30 June 2012.

6. **INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE**

- 6.1 The Fund achieved a return of -2.6% in the quarter which is -0.5% below the benchmark of -2.1%.
- 6.2 The performance of the fund over the longer term is as set out in table 1.



- 6.3 The chart demonstrates the volatility and cyclical nature of financial markets, but the outcomes are within the range of expectations used by the Fund actuary in assessing the funding position. The Fund can take a long term perspective on investment issues principally because a high proportion of its pensions liabilities are up to sixty years in the future. Consequently it can effectively ride out short term volatility in markets.

7. MANAGERS

7.1 The Fund currently employs eight specialist managers with mandates corresponding to the principal asset classes. The managers, mandate and funds held under management are set out below:

Table 2: Management Structure

Manager	Mandate	Value £M	Target % of Fund	Actual % of Fund	Difference %	Date Appointed
GMO	Global Equity	191.5	25.0%	23.7%	-1.3%	29 Apr 2005
Baillie Gifford	Global Equity	130.1	16.0%	16.1%	0.1%	5 Jul 2007
L & G UK Equity	UK Equity	162.2	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	2 Aug 2010
Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth	Absolute Return	42.0	5.0%	5.2%	0.2%	22 Feb 2011
Ruffer Total Return Fund	Absolute Return	39.8	5.0%	4.9%	-0.1%	8 Mar 2011
L & G Index Linked-Gilts	UK Index Linked	46.4	3.0%	5.7%	2.7%	2 Aug 2010
Investec Bonds	Bonds	95.1	14.0%	11.7%	-2.3%	26 Apr 2010
Schroder	Property	94.8	12.0%	11.7%	-0.3%	30 Sep 2004
Cash	Currency	8.4	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	
Total		810.3	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	

7.2 The fund value of £810.3 million as at 30 June 2012, which includes cash held, has decreased by £20.5 million (2.47%) over the quarter. This was particularly driven by equity prices which continue to be affected by uncertainties in the Eurozone.

7.3 The performance of the individual managers relative to the appropriate benchmark over the past five years is as set out in table 3.

Table 3: Manager Investment Performance relative to benchmark

Manager	Current Quarter	One Year	Three Years	Five Years
GMO	0.00%	-1.60%	-0.30%	-0.20%
Baillie Gifford	-1.30%	-0.10%	2.60%	1.90%
L & G UK Equity	0.00%	0.10%	0.10%	N/A
Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth	0.50%	2.40%	3.60%	N/A
Ruffer Total Return Fund	-3.30%	-1.80%	-1.40%	N/A
L & G Index Linked-Gilts	0.00%	0.00%	0.10%	N/A
Investec Bonds	-0.50%	-2.40%	-2.20%	N/A
Schroder	-0.70%	-2.40%	-2.10%	-1.00%
Total Variance (Relative)	-0.50%	-1.10%	-0.40%	-0.50%

7.4 **GMO** performed in line with benchmark in this quarter at -4.4% against a benchmark of -4.4% giving a relative performance of 0%. The portfolio suffered losses as a result of overweight exposure to European equities, but some of these losses were offset by stock selection. The portfolio is also currently overweight defensive stocks which partly accounts for the resilience of the Fund in a falling market. Looking ahead, the manager is confident that its overweight position in the Eurozone will eventually pay off and believe that the worst outcomes have already been discounted into European equity prices.

- 7.5 **Baillie Gifford** – has experienced its first negative quarter in over 3 years, but the manager is convinced that the portfolio is well placed to recover lost ground. The Fund delivered return of -4.9% against a benchmark of -3.6% resulting in relative underperformance of -1.3%. The portfolio was affected by the fall in equities due to concerns over the Eurozone, but the major detractor in the quarter was the performance of OGX, a Brazilian oil company which announced disappointing results. It is worth noting that the manager's long term performance is still good. Looking ahead, the manager is confident that they can continue to find growth opportunities in what they acknowledge is a challenging macroeconomic environment.
- 7.6 **L & G (UK Equity)** performance has been in line with the index benchmark (FTSE-All Share) since inception, as expected.
- 7.7 **L & G Index Linked Gilts** performance has been in line with the index benchmark (FTSE-A Index-Linked Over 15 Years Gilts) since inception.
- 7.8 **Investec (Bonds)**– delivered a return of -0.4% this quarter against a benchmark of 0.1%, which equates to underperformance of -0.5%. Corporate bonds were a major drag on performance, but some hedging helped to minimise losses. Currency also detracted from performance over the quarter with a number of Asian and emerging market positions hurting performance. Looking ahead, the manager has reduced underweight exposure to the Euro in the expectation of a recovery.
- 7.9 **Schroder (Property)**– delivered a return of -0.4% this quarter against a benchmark of 0.3%, which equates to a relative underperformance of -0.7%. European property and currency continue to detract from performance, especially Spanish and Portuguese properties. Schroders continue to aim to lower the portfolio risk profile by aiming for an ungeared balanced portfolio and also add properties that offer attractive levels of income.
- 7.10 **Baillie Gifford Diversified Growth Fund (Absolute Return)** the fund delivered a return of 0.6% this quarter against a benchmark of 0.1%, which equates to a relative outperformance of 0.5%. The Baillie Gifford absolute return mandate, continue to perform strongly as it has done since inception.
- 7.11 **Ruffer Total Return Fund (Absolute Return)**– this was a particularly poor quarter for Ruffer. It delivered returns of -3.0% against a benchmark of 0.3%, which equates to a relative underperformance of -3.3%. Unlike the Baillie Gifford absolute return fund, the Ruffer fund is far more exposed to equities with nearly half of fund assets allocated to equities, so more susceptible to changes in the economic sensitive equities market. Consequently, the fall in global equity prices had a significant impact on returns. The portfolio manager is looking for opportunities to reduce exposure to equities given ongoing concerns with corporate earnings growth.

8 **ASSET ALLOCATION**

8.1 The allocation of investments between the different asset classes was determined in conjunction with the Council's professional advisors in 2004 and is subject to periodic review by the Investment Panel – the latest review was carried out in January 2011. Asset allocation is determined by a number of factors including:-

8.1.1 The risk profile. Generally there is a trade-off between the returns obtainable on investments and the level of risk. Equities have higher potential returns but this is achieved with higher volatility. However, as the Fund remains open to new members and able to tolerate this it can seek long term benefits of the increased returns.

8.1.2 The age profile of the Fund. The younger the members of the Fund, the longer the period before pensions become payable and investments have to be realised for this purpose. This enables the Fund to invest in more volatile asset classes because it has the capacity to ride out adverse movements in the investment cycle.

8.1.3 The deficit recovery term. All Council funds are in deficit because of falling investment returns and increasing life expectancy. The actuary determines the period over which the deficit is to be recovered and considers the need to stabilise the employer's contribution rate. The actuary has set a twenty year deficit recovery term for this Council which enables a longer term investment perspective to be taken.

8.2 The benchmark asset distribution and the position at the 30 June 2012 are as set out below:

Table 4: Asset Allocation

Mandate	Benchmark 30 Jun 2012	Fund Position	Variance as at 30 Jun 2012	Variance as at 31 Mar 2012
UK Equities	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Global Equities	41.0%	39.8%	-1.3%	-0.4%
Total Equities	61.0%	59.8%	-1.3%	-0.4%
Property	12.0%	11.7%	-0.3%	-0.5%
Bonds	14.0%	11.7%	-2.3%	-2.5%
UK Index Linked	3.0%	5.7%	2.7%	2.5%
Alternatives	10.0%	10.1%	0.1%	0.0%
Cash	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%
Currency	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total Equities	100.0%	100.0%		

8.3 Allocations are therefore considered to be broadly in line with the benchmark. Individual managers have discretion within defined limits to vary the asset distribution. At its last meeting, Committee agreed to use L&G as a 'swing manager' to rebalance between equities and bonds where necessary, since L&G manages both an equities mandate and a bonds mandate on behalf of the Fund.

9. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

- 9.1. The comments of the Corporate Director Resources have been incorporated into the report.

10. CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)

- 10.1 The Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009 require the Council, as an administering authority, to invest fund money that is not needed immediately to make payments from the Pensions Fund. The Council is required to have a policy in relation to its investments and a Statement of Investment Principles. The Council is required to take advice about its investments.
- 10.2 The Council does not have to invest the fund money itself and may appoint one or more investment managers. Where the Council appoints an investment manager, it must keep the manager's performance under review. At least once every three months the Council must review the investments that the manager has made and, periodically, the Council must consider whether or not to retain that manager.
- 10.3 One of the functions of the Pensions Committee is to meet the Council's duties in respect of investment matters. It is appropriate, having regard to these matters, for the Committee to receive information about asset allocation and the performance of appointed investment managers.

11. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

- 11.1 The employer's contribution is a significant element of the Council's budget and consequently any improvement in investment performance will reduce the contribution and increase the funds available for other corporate priorities.
- 11.2 A viable pension scheme also represents an asset for the recruitment and retention of staff to deliver services to the residents.

12. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

- 12.1 There is no Sustainable Action for A Greener Environment implication arising from this report.

13. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

- 13.1 Any form of investment inevitably involves a degree of risk.
- 13.2 To minimise risk the Investment Panel attempts to achieve a diversified portfolio. Diversification relates to asset classes and management styles.

14. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

- 14.1 There are no crime and disorder reduction implications arising from this report.

15. EFFICIENCY STATEMENT

- 15.1 The monitoring arrangement for the Pension Fund and the work of the Pension Fund Investment Panel should ensure that the Fund optimises the use of its resources in achieving the best returns for the Council and members of the Fund.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 (AS AMENDED) SECTION 100D

LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background papers"

*Review of Investment Managers' Performance for the
2nd Quarter Report 2012 – prepared by Hymans Robertson
LLP*

***Name and telephone number of holder
And address where open to inspection***

*Oladapo Shonola Ext. 4733
Mulberry Place, 4th Floor.*

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 5.4

COMMITTEE: Pensions Committee	DATE: 15 November 2012	CLASSIFICATION: Unrestricted	REPORT NO.	AGENDA NO.
REPORT OF: Corporate Director of Resources ORIGINATING OFFICER(S): Simon Kilbey Service Head – Human Resources & Workforce Development		TITLE: Workforce Pension Reform: Automatic Enrolment Ward(s) affected: N/A		

Lead Member	Cllr Zenith Rahman: Chair of Pensions Committee
Community Plan Theme	All
Strategic Priority	All

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1. The Government has introduced workplace pension reforms in order to encourage people into pension saving through the process of auto-enrolment. This means most employees will need to be automatically enrolled into a qualifying pension scheme and must opt out if they do not wish to participate. The process starts with larger employers in 2012 and continues on a phased basis through to 2017.
- 1.2. This report sets out this Council's response to these requirements and provides implementation options being adopted for automatic enrolment.

2. DECISIONS REQUIRED

- 2.1 Members are recommended to note the report.

3. REASONS FOR DECISIONS

- 3.1 The report is for information and provides Members with details of the Government's workplace pension reform introduced for employers.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

- 4.1 The report is for information. The change is legislative, and the only alternative options relate to the timing of implementation.

5. BACKGROUND

- 5.1 People are living longer and if they do not plan and save for retirement it is likely they will have inadequate pension provisions for their later years. To encourage more people to do so the Government has introduced an element of compulsion into pension saving through the process of auto enrolment.

6. RESPONSIBILITIES - DEPARTMENT OF WORK AND PENSIONS (DWP), THE PENSIONS REGULATOR (TPR) AND EMPLOYERS

- 6.1. The DWP is the policy owner of the workplace pension reforms and responsible for enabling and coordinating the auto-enrolment programme and for the communication of this to workers.
- 6.2. The Pensions Regulator (TPR) is independent and is responsible for communicating the duties of the auto-enrolment provisions to employers, intermediaries and providers. TPR will contact employers before their staging date to explain what the employer requirements are. TPR also has the responsibility for the compliance regime ensuring employers are compliant with the auto-enrolment requirements. If an employer fails to comply with their duties, the TPR can issue penalties. Any fines would be charged to the employer not to the Pension Fund.
- 6.3. Most of the auto-enrolment provisions relate to duties and responsibilities placed on employers. It is, therefore, the responsibility of employers to ensure they have in place systems and processes in order to comply with the auto-enrolment requirements.

7. SUMMARY OF KEY EMPLOYER DUTIES

- 7.1 All employers will be required to comply with new pension duties which are being introduced in stages starting from October 2012. Each employer will be allocated a date from when the duties apply to the employer, known as the employer's 'staging date', based on the number of people on the employer's PAYE scheme. The 'staging date' for the Council is 1 March 2013.
- 7.2 Under the new duties, employers have to:
- Provide a pension scheme to jobholders that is a qualifying scheme. (Both the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS) and the Teachers' Pension Scheme are qualifying schemes)
 - Automatically enrol eligible jobholders into an automatic enrolment scheme, although the employer can apply a 3 month postponement period (or "waiting period") before automatically enrolling an eligible jobholder.
 - Pay employer contributions for eligible jobholders who are enrolled in the automatic enrolment scheme.
 - Inform eligible jobholders (see 8.1) that they have been automatically enrolled, provide specified information to them, and inform them that they have the right to opt out of the scheme if they wish to.
 - Advise non-eligible jobholders (see 8.1) that they can join a qualifying scheme, provide specified information to them, and inform them that the employer will make a contribution to the qualifying scheme if they join it.
 - Advise entitled workers (see 8.1) that they can join a pension scheme (although it does not have to be a qualifying scheme and the employer is not required to contribute to it, but employer contributions to the LGPS

are, nevertheless, mandatory under the regulations governing the LGPS) and provide the entitled worker with specified information.

- Process Opt In and Opt Out notices.
- Keep accurate records on their workers including keeping track of their age and earnings at all times.
- Keep records about the pension scheme(s) used to comply with their duties.
- Register with the Pensions Regulator.
- Avoid any action whose sole or main purpose is to attempt to induce entitled worker, non-eligible jobholder or eligible jobholder to opt out of the pension scheme.
- Not screen out job applicants on grounds relating to potential pension scheme membership as an eligible jobholder or suggest that a job applicant's success could depend on whether or not, should they become an eligible jobholder, they opt out of the pension scheme.
- Re-enrol jobholders who have opted out after every 3 years.

8. CATEGORIES OF WORKERS

8.1 Employees will fall into one of the three categories:

- **Eligible jobholders. (auto – enrolled into a “qualifying scheme” e.g. LGPS)**
This is a worker who is working or ordinarily works in Great Britain under the worker's contract, and who is aged at least 22 and under State Pension Age, and to whom earnings of more than the annualised equivalent of £8,105 are payable by the employer in the relevant pay reference period.
- **Non eligible jobholders. (can opt to join a “qualifying scheme”)**
This is a worker who is working or ordinarily works in Great Britain under the worker's contract, and who is aged at least 16 and is under age 75 and to whom annualised equivalent earnings of £5,564 or more but less than or equal to £8,105 are payable by the employer in the relevant pay reference period, or who is aged at least 16 and under age 22, or has attained State Pension Age and is under age 75, and to whom annualised equivalent earnings of more than £8,105 are payable by the employer in the relevant pay reference period.
- **Entitled workers (can opt to join a scheme)**
This is a worker who is working or ordinarily works in Great Britain under the worker's contract, and who is aged at least 16 and is under age 75, and to whom earnings of less than the annualised equivalent of £5,564 are payable by the employer in the relevant pay reference period.

The category into which a worker falls is determined by their age and earnings. The following table illustrates the different categories of worker for automatic enrolment purposes.

Age →	16 – 21	22 - < SPA *	SPA * - < 75
Earnings			
Under lower earnings threshold (£5,564**)	Entitled worker		
Between £5,564** and £8,105***)	Non-eligible jobholder		
Over earnings trigger for automatic enrolment (£8,105****)	Non-eligible jobholder	Eligible Jobholder	Non-eligible Jobholder

* State Pension Age

** Earnings: Separate contracts treated separately

*** To align with National Insurance contributions lower earnings limit

**** To align with the PAYE threshold

9. STAGING DATES FOR EMPLOYERS

9.1. The 'automatic enrolment' regime is being phased in from 1 October 2012, starting with the largest employers. An employer will be required to comply with the 'automatic enrolment' duties from its 'staging date'. An employer's 'staging date' is determined by the number of people in its largest PAYE scheme, as ascertained by The Pensions Regulator based on HMRC data at 1 April 2012. The 'staging date' for the Council is 1 March 2013.

10. OPTIONS CONSIDERED

10.1 Options have been considered by the Corporate Management Team and they have decided:

10.1.1 To retain the Council's staging date of 1 March 2013 and not seek to bring it forward.

10.1.2 To use the flexibility provided by the Postponement provisions (which allow the postponement of automatic enrolment for up to 3 months after the staging date) being deferred for 3 months until 1 June 2013, to allow for all calculations and refunds to be dealt with within one financial year, and to provide sufficient time for planning and information to staff.

11. COMMENTS OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

11.1. The Authority makes employer's contributions to the LGPS or Teachers Pension Scheme which currently greatly exceed the employer's contribution for staff with other pension arrangements. Implementing auto-enrolment will therefore increase employer costs should newly enrolled staff remain in the schemes. There would also be increased administration costs and potential costs associated with dealing with any anticipated increase in workloads.

- 11.2. Around 4,500 of the Tower Hamlets eligible workforce are not currently part of the pension scheme. Of these it is estimated that 3,700 would be auto-enrolled to the Local Government Pension Scheme and 418 would become members of the Teachers' Pension Scheme. In addition 156 staff from THH (wholly-owned Council subsidiary) which is a scheduled body to the LGPS would be auto-enrolled.
- 11.3. The financial impact on the Council would depend upon the number of staff that choose to stay in the scheme. Since staff are already assumed automatically to be joining the schemes when they join the Council, and have to opt out, many staff who are auto-enrolled would already have made a recent decision not to join the scheme and it is reasonable to assume that most of these would opt out again. The same assumption cannot be made about staff who have not made an explicit recent decision.
- 11.4. Clearly, numbers are not known and are extremely difficult to estimate, but an indicative cost to the Council of staff opting in to the scheme on the basis of:

	100% Take Up (per month)	50% Take Up (per month)	20% Take Up (per month)
LGPS (General Fund)	£515,200	£257,600	£103,040
LGPS (Schools)	£285,800	£142,900	£57,160
Teachers Scheme	£148,700	£74,350	£29,740
Tower Hamlets Homes	£58,600	£29,300	£11,720

However, given that these figures are calculated using the number of staff not currently in the scheme, the take up is likely to be very much less than 100%.

- 11.5. The Medium Term Financial Plan currently makes no provision for the General Fund costs of auto-enrolment. Financial implication will need to be taken into account in the budget process and will be reported to the Cabinet in due course.
- 11.6. As the report points out, the fact of auto-enrolment will increase the cash flow of the Pension Fund in a positive direction (income will increase and initially expenditure will not), which will increase the short term liquidity of the Fund. It will also increase the assets available for investment in the Fund over time, but it will also increase the long term liabilities of the Fund, which is currently not 100% funded. The impact of auto enrolment on future actuarial valuations of the Fund is unknown but is generally expected to be neutral.

12. **CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF EXECUTIVE (LEGAL)**

- 12.1 All relevant matters are set out in the body of the report which has been prepared in accordance with the relevant guidance and legislative framework.

13. ONE TOWER HAMLETS CONSIDERATIONS

13.1 The Pension Fund Accounts demonstrate the financial stewardship of the scheme members and employers assets. A financially viable and stable pension fund is a valuable recruitment and retention incentive.

14. SUSTAINABLE ACTION FOR A GREENER ENVIRONMENT

14.1 There is no Sustainable Action for A Greener Environment implication arising from this report.

15. RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

15.1 Accounts provide an effective mechanism to safeguard the Council's assets and assess the risks associated with its activities.

16. CRIME AND DISORDER REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

16.1 There are no any Crime and Disorder Reduction implications arising from this report.

17. EFFICIENCY STATEMENT

17.1 The monitoring arrangement for the Pension Fund and the work of the Pension Fund Investment Panel should ensure that the Fund optimises the use of its resources in achieving the best returns for members of the Fund.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972 (AS AMENDED) SECTION 100D

LIST OF "BACKGROUND PAPERS" USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

Brief description of "background papers"

*Name and telephone number of holder
And address where open to inspection*

*Simon Kilbey Service Head – HR & WD
Tel: 020 7364 4922*

Agenda Item 8

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1, 2, 3, 4 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank